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## 1. Introduction

The objective of the study was to survey fish species at six sites in the River Itchen catchment with particular emphasis on fish of angling interest.

At each site the following were determined:
a) species composition
b) population estimates
c) biomass estimates
d) length weight relationships
e) length age relationships
f) condition of fish
g) visible signs of disease

## 2. Study sites

The six survey sites were chosen by NRA (Southern) in consultation with IFE and represented areas from the upper headwaters to the lower reaches of the river (Fig. 1). Details of the sites, their location and the survey dates are given in Appendix 1.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1 Site information

Sketch maps of the sites were drawn and lengths, widths and depths of the sites recorded. A subjective assessment of substratum type was also made (Appendix 1).

### 3.2 Stop nets

Stop nets were used to isolate the fishing reach. The mesh sizes of the nets was 20 and 25 mm . At Sites $3-6$ the lead line was fixed to the bottom using metal stakes. At Site 5 (Brambridge) considerable difficulty was experienced in setting the stop nets. This was due to deep narrow runs between sediment banks creating gaps under the lead line of the net. At all other sites it was felt that the nets provided an adequate barrier to fish immigration and emigration.

### 3.3 Fishing techniques

With the exception of Site 1 , three successive electrofishings were carried out at each site. Fish were processed after each fishing and placed in keep nets until completion of electrofishing. At Site 1 the river was narrow and shallow with few fish. It was not considered necessary therefore to carry out a third fishing.

The length and weight of each fish was recorded after anaesthetizing, if necessary, in benzocaine. Scales were taken from individuals of the major fish species for age determination. Where an obvious length age cohort was present, a subsample of fish was used, eg $0+$ grayling. At Sites 5 and 6 some fishing was carried out outside the study reaches to provide extra information. Fish caught were not used in assessing population densities.

Four fishing techniques were used depending on the river width and depth.

### 3.3.1 Upstream wading, single anode (Sites 1 and 2)

The reach was waded from the downstream net to the upstream net. A 1 KVA single phase generator was used producing 220 V at 100 Hz output through a single 30 cm diameter anode. Two hand nets were used to capture fish.

### 3.3.2 Upstream wading, double anode (Site 5)

The reach was fished from the downstream to the upstream stop net. A 1.9 KVA single phase generator was used (output 220 V at 100 Hz ) powering two 30 cm diameter anodes. Four hand nets were used to capture fish.

### 3.3.3 Downstream fishing from a punt (Sites 3 and 4)

The reach was fished from the upstream net to the downstream net. Ropes to both banks were used to control the speed and position of the punt. Speed was maintained at slightly faster than the current of the river to avoid the problem of stunned fish drifting out of reach of the netsmen. The punt was zig-zagged down the river in order to fish the full width at each fishing. A 1.9 KVA generator was used (output 220 V at 100 Hz ) to power two 30 cm diameter anodes. Two standard and two extra large hand nets were used.

### 3.3.4 Boom boat (Site 6)

The site was fished using a multi anode boom boat. Fishing was carried out from the upstream stop net to the downstream net. Power was supplied from a 7.5 KVA generator ( 220 V at 100 Hz ) to each of eight equally spaced anodes on an eight metre boom. The fishing team comprised two netsmen, a boom operator and an outboard engine operator.

### 3.4 Analysis of catch data

### 3.4.1 Population density

Population densities of individual species for all sites were estimated from either triple or double catch data. Calculations were carried out using the computer program 'Remove'. The program calculates the exact minimum likelihood estimate of the population (N) (Carle \& Strub 1978). This method is slightly more precise than that of Zippin (1956) because it takes account of the fact that the population size is an integer. As with Zippin's method the exact maximum likelihood method requires the catch effort to remain constant between catches. To test this on the three catch estimates, a Chi-square test, based on the assumption of constant effort (p) is calculated. If the Chi-square value is significant, then the assumption is invalid and the estimate suspect.

Where population estimation was not possible from the catch data (eg because of non-constant fishing effort), minimum species densities were calculated based on actual numbers of fish caught. Minor species and eels were considered to be of secondary importance and minimum esimates only have been calculated as not all fish seen were caught. Numbers caught tended to increase with shock number as individuals of the major species were reduced.

### 3.4.2 Biomass estimate

Biomass estimates were calculated for each species caught at each site. The population biomass was estimated by multiplying the estimated population density by the mean weight of an individual of that species caught at that site. Where population density estimation was not possible, the minimum biomass was calculated based on the actual weight of fish caught.

### 3.4.5 Condition factor (CF)

The condition factors of individual trout, grayling and salmon caught at each site were calculated from the equation

where $W=$ wet weight ( $g$ ) , $L=$ length (mm) and $b=$ slope from length weight relationship. Mean values and $95 \%$ confidence limits for each age group at each site were calculated.

### 3.4.3 Age determination

The age composition of the fish was determined by examining the scales of individual fish. Where interpretation was difficult a second opinion was sought. If there was still doubt the fish was excluded from age related calculations. Many fish were in the process of laying down their winter check but were not considered to have reached their 'birthday' ie a fish laying down its first check was aged at $0+$. A length age relationship for each major species was produced.

### 3.4.4 Length weight relationship

Length weight relationships were calculated for trout, salmon and grayling from the formula

$$
\log W=a+b \log L
$$

where $W=$ weight ( $g$ ), $L=$ length (mm), $a$ and $b$ are constants.

## 4. Results and interpretation

### 4.1 Species composition

A total of 14 species of fish were caught in the survey reaches. the common species were brown trout, present at all sites except site 6, grayling, present at all sites from site 3 downstream and eel present at all sites except Site 1. The length distribution of trout and grayling caught at each site are shown in Figs 2 and 3 respectively. All small trout ( $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were caught in the upper reaches of the river (Sites 1-3). The largest trout were caught at Site 5 .

The length range of grayling was similar at sites 5 and 6. At Site 4, however, there were greater numbers of small fish ( $<200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Site 4 was the only site where fish $>360 \mathrm{~mm}$ were caught (Fig. 3). One rainbow trout was caught at each of Sites 2 and 4. A female fish at Site 4 (Winchester College) appeared to have recently spawned. Salmon parr were only caught at Sites 4 and 5 and adult salmon only seen at Site 5 .

A full species list and the sites at which they were recorded is shown in Table 1. Despite the reported presence of dace and bream at Site 6, none was caught or seen even when further electrofishing outside the study site was carried out.

### 4.2 Population density and biomass

Population density and biomass for each species caught at each site are given in Table 2.

The density of brown trout was highest at Site 2 with Site 3 having the second highest. Densities at Sites 1,4 and 5 were similar. Biomasses for Sites 2 and 3 were similar even though densities were 2-3 times greater at Site 2 than Site 3. This reflects the larger size of trout found at Site 3. Biomasses at Sites 4 and 5 were also similar to each other. However the biomass at site 1 was only one tenth of these values even though the density was approximately the same.

With the exception of one fish caught at Site 3 , grayling were confined to Sites 4-6. The highest density and biomass occurred at Site 4.

Surprisingly salmon parr were found only at two of the study sites (4 and 5). It is probable that Sites 1 and 2 are too high up the river system and Site 6 was below the spawning areas. The result merits further investigation particularly in the middle to upper reaches of the river.

Only two rainbow trout were caught from the six sites on the river. This low density (and consequently low biomass) is notable in the light of concerns about fish farm escapees in the river.

Densities and biomasses of other major angling species, roach, tench and pike, were very low with only occasional fish caught. Biomass purely reflects the size of these few individuals. Densities of the minor fish species and eels should be regarded as minimum estimates. These species were not the primary target species and efficiency of capture, especially on the lower sites, was often low. However the highest population density and biomass of any species was found for eels at site 5 .

### 4.3 Length weight relationship

Length weight relationships for brown trout, grayling and salmon were as follows:

| Brown trout | $\log W$ | $=4.93+3.01 \log 1$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Grayling | $\log W$ | $=5.49+3.22 \log 1$ |
| Salmon | $\log W=4.26+2.65 \log 1$ |  |

These are shown graphically in Figs 4-6.

Only three age groups of brown trout were found to be present in the survey sites. No fish older than $2+$ were found at any site. The relationships between age and both length and weight are shown in Fig. 7. Length frequency distributions for age are given in Fig. 8.

Five age groups of grayling were present. Fig. 9 shows the relationship between age and both length and weight. Length frequency distributions for age are given in Fig. 10.

The instantaneous growth rate of brown trout decreased from 2.11 between the ages of $0+$ and $1+$ to 0.61 between ages $1+$ and $2+$ (Table 3). The four instantaneous growth rates calculated for grayling ranged from 1.49 between ages $0+$ and $1+$ to 0.15 between $3+$ and $4+$. The $G$ values between ages $1+$ and $2+$ and $2+$ and $3+$ were very similar (Table 4 ).

### 4.5 Condition of fish

It is known that condition factor varies both between and within years and comparison with other river systems, therefore, ideally needs data to be collected over the same time period.

### 4.5.1 Brown trout

The condition factor of brown trout in the River Itchen was found to be highly variable (Fig. 11) with values for ages $1+$ and $2+$ being more variable than for $0+$ trout. The condition factors of brown trout at Site 4 were generally lower than those at other sites. There were no differences between condition factors at each age (Fig. 11).

### 4.5.2 Grayling

Grayling at Site 6 (Gaters Mill) generally had good condition factors with most above the mean $C F$ for the whole river population sampled (Fig. 12). Unlike brown trout, grayling showed a wide range of CF for $0+$ fish. Generally there was no difference in CF with age.

### 4.6 Fish disease

Most fish caught on the survey were free of visible diseases. Fungus was found on one trout at Brambridge (Site 5) and two at Easton (Site 3). Two damaged trout were found at Brambridge and one at Easton had one gill cover missing. One deformed grayling was found at Winchester College (Site 4).

Several brown trout showed the fin deformities associated with stocked fish.

The fish leach Piscicola geometra occurred on many fish, particularly brown trout, heaviest infestations of several per individual fish occurring at Winchester College.
a) Trout and grayling were the only species of angling interest found in numbers during the survey. Although large numbers of coarse fish were reported to be present at Site 6 (Gaters Mill) they were probably highly aggregated and were not present in the survey reach or the extra reach electrofished.
b) Only two rainbow trout were found suggesting that escapement from fish farms is slight or sporadic and is not a persistent problem in the areas fished. It should be noted, however, that one fish appeared to have spawned.
c) Grayling were limited to below Easton.
d) Salmon parr were only found at two sites. Further surveys in the middle to upper reaches are desirable.
e) The whole river appears to be very silty. Very few areas of clean gravel (apart from headwater streams) were seen. Potential for trout and salmon spawning appears limited.
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Site 1 Abbotstone, Site 2 Vernal Farm, Site 3 Easton, Site 4 Winchester College, Site 5 Brambridge, Site 6 Gaters Mill


Fig. 2 Length distribution of brown trout caught at each site in the R. Itchen


Fig. 3 Length distribution of grayling caught at each site in the R. Itchen


Fig. 4
Length weight relationship for brown trout in the $R$. Itchen. 95\% CL far new individuals are shown.


Fig. 5 Length weight relationship for grayling in the R. Itchen. 95\% CL for new individuals are shown.


Fig. 6 Length weight relationship for salmon in the $R$. Itchen. $95 \%$ CL for new individuals are shown.


Fig. 7 Length age relationship for brown trout in the R. Itchen


Fig. 8 Length frequency distribution for each age of brown trout in the R. Itchen


Fig. 9 Length age relationship for grayling in the R. Itchen


Fig. 10. Length frequency distribution for each age of grayling in the $R$. Itchen


Fig. 11 Frequency distribution of condition factor for brown trout in the $R$. Itchen by site and by age. Arrows denote mean condition factor.


Site 1

$1+$


Condition Factor

Frequency distribution of condition factor for grayling in the R. Itchen by site and by age.
Arrows denote mean condition factor.


Table 1. Fish species caught during the survey of the R. Itchen and sites where each species was found are given.

Common name

## Brown trout

Rainbow trou
Salmon
Grayling
Ee1
Pike
Roach
Tench
Gudgeon
Minnow

Bullhead
Loach
3-spined stickleback
Brook lamprey

Scientific name

Salmo trutta L.
Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum)
Salmo salar L.
Thymallus thymallus (L)
Anguilla anguilla (L)
Esox•lucius L.
Rutilus rutilus (L)
Tinca tinca (L)
Gobio gobio (L)
Phoxinus phoxinus (L)
Cottus gobio L.
Noemacheilus barbatulus (L)
Gasterosteus aculeatus L.
Lampetra planeri (Bloch)

Sites caught
$1,2,3,4,5$
2, 4
4, 5
$3,4,5,6$
$2,3,4,5,6$
4, 5
4
6
5, 6
$1,3,4,5$
$1,2,3,4,5$
$1,3,4,5$
$1,2,3,4,6$
$1,2,3,5,6$


Table 2 (Continued)

| Site N |
| :--- |
| Name |
| Trout |


| Trout |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 7.18 | $5.42 \pm 0.0$ |
| B m |  |  |

## Rainbow trout

| Rainbow trout |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| N m |  |
| $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3} \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.72 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salmon } \\ & \frac{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times}{\mathrm{B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}} \times 10^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.77 \\ 1.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.62 \pm 0.0 \\ 1.90 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grayling } \\ & \frac{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \times 10^{-3}}{\mathrm{~B}^{-2} \times 0^{-1}} \end{aligned}$ | $106.32 \pm 87.64$ $215.2 \pm 177.4$ | $15.50 \pm 7.10$ $38.44 \pm 17.62$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.66 \pm 0.0 \\ 10.84 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eel } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \\ \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times \end{array}{ }^{2} \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.06 \\ & 12.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192.20 \pm 45.30 \\ & 257.20 \pm 60.28 \end{aligned}$ | Present |


| Pike $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{m}\end{aligned} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 3.59 17.12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roach } \\ & \frac{N}{n} \mathrm{~m}_{-2}^{-2} \times 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 0.72 |
|  | 1.69 |


Gudgeon

| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}_{2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.42 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.22 | l


| Minnow |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.72 | 3.10 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| Bullhead |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.72 | 4.6 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-1}$ | 0.05 | 0.26 |
| Loach |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 2.87 | 0.77 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.15 | 0.05 |


| Stickleback |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.72 |
| $\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.01 | Present

## Lamprey

N m ${ }_{-2} \times 10^{-3}$
Present
Present

Table 3. Age length, Age weight relationships and instantaneous growth rate ( $G$ ) for brown trout in the $R$. Itchen.

| AgeMean <br> length <br> mm | SD | Mean <br> weight <br> $g$ | SD | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0+$ | 143 | 24 | 38.0 | 19.2 |

Table 4. Age length, Age weight relationships and instantaneous growth rate (G) for grayling in the $R$. Itchen.

| Age | Mean length mm | SD | Mean weight g | SD | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0+ | 163 | 13 | 45.4 | 11.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.49 |
| $1+$ | 260 | 24 | 202.9 | 60.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.56 |
| $2+$ | 309 | 32. | 357.1 | 126.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 |
| $3+$ | 385 | 21 | 657.0 | 84.9 |  |
|  |  |  | . |  | 0.15 |
| $4+$ | 391 | 23 | 766.0 | 73.0 |  |

Appendix 1. Site maps, physical characteristics of the sites and basic data on fish caught.


| Site No. | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Abbotstone |
| NGR: | SU 365345 |
| Length: | 103 m |
| Mean width: | 4 m |
| Approximate <br> depth range: | $0.1-0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Area: | $412 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Substratum: | $\mathrm{Silt} / \mathrm{sand} / \mathrm{cobbles}$ |
| Date sampled: | 15.1 .91 |



COMMENTS :
Recent weed cut - very little instream cover.


| Site No. | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Vernal Farm |
| NGR: | SU 572312 |
| Length: | 97 m |
| Mean width: | 5 m |
| Approximate <br> depth range: | $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Area: | $485 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Date sampled: | 15.1 .91 |

Substratum: Sand/gravel/pebbles



| Site No. | 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name: | Easton |
| NGR: | SU 510324 |
| Length: | 120 m |
| Mean width: | 15 m |
| Approximate depth range: | 1-1.6m |
| Area: | $1800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Date sampled: | 17.1.91 |

Substratum: Silt/sand. Some open gravel/pebble runs. Most of reach overlain with chalk silt.

| Species | Catch 1 | Catch 2 | 2 Catch 3 | $\mathrm{Nm} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | SE ( $\times 10^{-2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brown trout | 17 | 9 | 3 | 17.22 | 2.37 |
| Grayling | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.56 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Eel | 44 | 9 | 21 | 51.67 | 12.42 |
| Minnow | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.56 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Bullhead | 12 | 28 | 36 | 45.00 |  |
| Loach | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2.22 | " |
| Lamprey | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6.66 | " |
| Stickleback | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1.11 | " |
| Species | Total wt | (g) | Ave wt (g) | B $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(10^{-1}\right)$ | SE ( $\times 10^{-1}$ ) |
| Brown trout | 12295 |  | 423.97 | 72.07 | 0.85 |
| Grayling | 54 |  | 54 | 0.3 | - |

## COMMENTS :

Bank reinstatement upstream causing extensive discoloration of river. Fishings delayed until river clear.


| Site No. | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Winchester <br> College |
| NGR: | SU 481283 |
| Length: | 116 m |
| Mean width: | 12 m |
| Approximate <br> depth range: | $1-1.75 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Area: | $1392 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Date sampled: | 16.1 .91 |

Substratum: Detritus/silt overlying gravel

- some clean gravel near bank.

Species Catch 1 Catch 2 Catch $3 \quad \mathrm{Nm}^{2}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ SE ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{-2}$ )

| Brown trout | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7.18 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Rainbow trout | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.72 | $"$ |
| Salmon | 4 | 6 | 5 | 10.77 | $"$ |
| Grayling | 28 | 25 | 19 | 106.32 | 87.64 |
| Eel | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10.06 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Pike | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3.59 | $"$ |
| Roach | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.72 | $"$ |
| Minnow | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.72 | $"$ |
| Bullhead | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.72 | $"$ |
| Loach | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2.87 | $"$ |
| Stickleback | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.72 | 4 |


| Species | Total wt (g) | Ave wt (g) | B $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(10^{-1}\right)$ | SE ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{-1}$ ) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brown trout | 4222 | 422.2 | 30.33 |  |
| Rainbow trout | 738 | 738 | 5.30 |  |
| Salmon | 219 | 14.6 | 1.57 |  |
| Grayling | 14661 | 203.2 | 215.2 | 177.4 |

COMMENTS :

Two trout obviously stocked fish. Rainbow trout a spent female. Water slightly discoloured from bank maintenance at Easton.


| Site No. | 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Brambridge |
| NGR: | SU 468213 |
| Length: | 69 m |
| Mean width: | 18.7 m |
| Approximate <br> depth range: | $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Area: | $1290 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Date sampled: | 19.12 .90 |

Substratum: Soft silt with overlying crust.
Gravel runs through sediment.

| Species | Catch 1 | Catch 2 | Catch 3 | $\mathrm{Nm} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | $) \quad S E\left(\times 10^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brown trout | 6 | 1 | 5 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 catch estimate |
| Salmon | 13 | 2 | 3 | 11.62 | 0.0 |
| Grayling | 10 | 6 | 11 | 15.50 | 7.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 catch estimate |
| Eel | 118 | 63 | 41 | 192.20 | 45.30 |
| Minnow | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3.10 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Bullhead | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4.6 | , |
| Loach | 1 |  |  | 0.77 | " |
| Lamprey | - P | Present | - | Present |  |
| Species | Total wt | (g) | Ave wt (g) | B $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(10^{-1}\right)$ | SE $\left(\times 10^{-1}\right)$ |
| Brown trout | 3386 |  | 282.2 | 26.25 | 0.0 |
| Salmon | 294 |  | 16.3 |  | 2 catch only |
|  | 294 |  | 16.3 | 1.90 | 0.0 |
| Grayling | 8459 |  | 248 | 38.44 | 17.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 catch only |

## COMMENTS :

Pool below reach fished and additional trout, grayling, adult salmon, pike and gudgeon caught.
Stop nets difficult to set due to deep narrow runs through deep sediment. Fishery keeper fed stock fish upstream after second fishing. Shortly after trout and grayling seen actively feeding in reach; top stop net checked and found to be inadequate. Enhanced third catch almost certainly due to immigration from upstream.


| Site No. | 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Gaters Mill |
| NGR: | SU 454157 |
| Length: | 200 m |
| Mean width: | 12 m |
| Approximate <br> depth range: | $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Area: | $2400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Substratum: | fine sediment |
| Date sampled: | 29.1 .91 |


| Species | Catch 1 | Catch 2 | 2 | Catch 3 | $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}{ }^{2}\left(\times 10^{-3}\right)$ | SE ( $\times 10^{-2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grayling | 12 | 3 |  | 1 | 6.66 | 0.0 |
| Tench | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.42 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Gudgeon | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.04 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Eel |  | Present |  |  |  |  |
| Lamprey |  | Present |  |  |  |  |
| Stickleback |  | Present |  |  | . |  |
| Species | Total wt | (g) | Ave | wt (g) | B $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(10^{-1}\right)$ | SE ( $\times 10^{-1}$ ) |
| Grayling | 2604 |  |  | 63 | 10.84 | 0.0 |

COMMENTS :
Bottom of survey reach to motorway fished after last survey fishing (c. 400 m ). A total of 20 grayling and 2 unidentified fish seen.

Water slightly coloured but bottom of river visible for most of reach.

Appendix 2. Raw data of fish caught
site
-
2

Brown trout
site length weight condit. age

| 63 | 4 | 372 | 594 | 0.109 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 64 | 4 | 383 | 634 | 0.106 | $*$ |
| 65 | 4 | 385 | 608 | 0.100 | 2 |
| 66 | 5 | 233 | 145 | 0.109 | $*$ |
| 67 | 5 | 257 | 227 | 0.127 | 1 |
| 68 | 5 | 272 | 257 | 0.121 | 1 |
| 69 | 5 | 272 | 280 | 0.132 | $*$ |
| 70 | 5 | 279 | 299 | 0.130 | 1 |
| 71 | 5 | 294 | 373 | 0.139 | 1 |
| 72 | 5 | 304 | 427 | 0.144 | 1 |
| 73 | 5 | 311 | 362 | 0.114 | 2 |
| 74 | 5 | 312 | 411 | 0.128 | 1 |
| 75 | 5 | 325 | 500 | 0.137 | $*$ |
| 76 | 5 | 326 | 386 | 0.105 | $*$ |
| 77 | 5 | 339 | 507 | 0.123 | 1 |
| 78 | 5 | 347 | 482 | 0.109 | 2 |
| 79 | 5 | 350 | 481 | 0.106 | 1 |
| 80 | 5 | 369 | 529 | 0.099 | 1 |
| 81 | 5 | 385 | 681 | 0.112 | $*$ |
| 82 | 5 | 391 | 683 | 0.108 | 2 |
| 83 | 5 | 392 | 644 | 0.101 | $*$ |
| 84 | 5 | 402 | 872 | 0.126 | $*$ |
| 85 | 5 | 403 | 761 | 0.110 | 2 |
| 86 | 5 | 453 | 1077 | 0.109 | $*$ |
| 87 | 5 | 485 | 1167 | 0.096 | 2 |

Grayling

|  | site | length | weight | condit. | age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 173 | 54 | 0.336 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 128 | 19 | 0.312 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 132 | 27 | 0.401 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 147 | 29 | 0.305 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 150 | 34 | 0.335 | 0 |
| 6 | 4 | 151 | 38 | 0.366 | 0 |
| 7 | 4 | 154 | 38 | 0.344 | 0 |
| 8 | 4 | 156 | 36 | 0.312 | 0 |
| 9 | 4 | 156 | 38 | 0.330 | 0 |
| 10 | 4 | 157 | 38 | 0.323 | 0 |
| 11 | 4 | 158 | 37 | 0.308 | 0 |
| 12 | 4 | 158 | 43 | 0.358 | 0 |
| 13 | 4 | 159 | 40 | 0.326 | 0 |
| 14 | 4 | 159 | 42 | 0.343 | 0 |
| 15 | 4 | 160 | 38 | 0.304 | 0 |
| 16 | 4 | 160 | 38 | 0.304 | 0 |
| 17 | 4 | 160 | 40 | 0.320 | 0 |
| 18 | 4 | 160 | 45 | 0.360 | 0 |
| 19 | 4 | 160 | 45 | 0.360 | 0 |
| 20 | 4 | 162 | 32 | 0.246 | 0 |
| 21 | 4 | 162 | 34 | 0.261 | 0 |
| 22 | 4 | 162 | 42 | 0.323 | 0 |
| 23 | 4 | 162 | 44 | 0.338 | 0 |
| 24 | 4 | 163 | 41 | 0.309 | 0 |
| 25 | 4 | 163 | 48 | 0.361 | 0 |
| 26 | 4 | 164 | 40 | 0.295 | 0 |
| 27 | 4 | 164 | 50 | 0.369 | 0 |
| 28 | 4 | 165 | 42 | 0.304 | 0 |
| 29 | 4 | 165 | 43 | 0.311 | 0 |
| 30 | 4 | 166 | * | * | 0 |
| 31 | 4 | 166 | 42 | 0.298 | 0 |
| 32 | 4 | 166 | 46 | 0.327 | 0 |
| 33 | 4 | 169 | 46 | 0.308 | 0 |
| 34 | 4 | 169 | 49 | 0.328 | 0 |
| 35 | 4 | 170 | 61 | 0.401 | 0 |
| 36 | 4 | 171 | 47 | 0.303 | 0 |
| 37 | 4 | 172 | 46 | 0.291 | 0 |
| 38 | 4 | 172 | 50 | 0.317 | 0 |
| 39 | 4 | 176 | 56 | 0.329 | 0 |
| 40 | 4 | 178 | 58 | 0.329 | 0 |
| 41 | 4 | 180 | 56 | 0.306 | 0 |
| 42 | 4 | 181 | 57 | 0.306 | 0 |
| 43 | 4 | 225 | 106 | 0.283 | 1 |
| 44 | 4 | 227 | 127 | 0.329 | 1 |
| 45 | 4 | 230 | 137 | 0.340 | 1 |
| 46 | 4 | 244 | 171 | 0.351 | 1 |
| 47 | 4 | 250 | 182 | 0.346 | 1 |
| 48 | 4 | 255 | 176 | 0.314 | 1 |
| 49 | 4 | 259 | 199 | 0.337 | 1 |
| 50 | 4 | 260 | 176 | 0.295 | 1 |
| 51 | 4 | 260 | 197 | 0.330 | 1 |
| 52 | 4 | 264 | 232 | 0.370 | 1 |
| 53 | 4 | 265 | 215 | 0.339 | 1 |
| 54 | 4 | 267 | 260 | 0.400 | 1 |
| 55 | 4 | 288 | 278 | 0.335 | 1 |
| 56 | 4 | 296 | 308 | 0.340 | 1 |
| 57 | 4 | 313 | 354 | 0.326 | 2 |
| 58 | 4 | 331 | 454 | 0.349 | 2 |
| 59 | 4 | 342 | 484 | 0.335 | 2 |
| 60 | 4 | 342 | 501 | 0.347 | 2 |
| 61 | 4 | 349 | 536 | 0.348 | 3 |
| 62 | 4 | 349 | 528 | 0.343 | 2 |
| 63 | 4 | 350 | 503 | 0.323 | 2 |
| 64 | 4 | 365 | 694 | 0.390 | 4 |

Grayling

|  | site | length | weight | condit. | age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 4 | 374 | 652 | 0.339 | 2 |
| 66 | 4 | 385 | 656 | 0.310 | 2 |
| 67 | 4 | 386 | 652 | 0.306 | 2 |
| 68 | 4 | 386 | 661 | 0.310 | 3 |
| 69 | 4 | 395 | 723 | 0.315 | 3 |
| 70 | 4 | 396 | * | * | 3 |
| 71 | 4 | 400 | 764 | 0.319 | 4 |
| 72 | 4 | 401 | 708 | 0.294 | 3 |
| 73 | 4 | 407 | 840 | 0.332 | 4 |
| 74 | 5 | 135 | 24 | 0.332 | 0 |
| 75 | 5 | 142 | 27 | 0.317 | 0 |
| 76 | 5 | 169 | 49 | 0.328 | 0 |
| 77 | 5 | 170 | 50 | 0.329 | 0 |
| 78 | 5 | 238 | 165 | 0.367 | 1 |
| 79 | 5 | 240 | 152 | 0.329 | 1 |
| 80 | 5 | 250 | 169 | 0.321 | 1 |
| 81 | 5 | 263 | 212 | 0.342 | 2 |
| 82 | 5 | 266 | 229 | 0.356 | 2 |
| 83 | 5 | 267 | 197 | 0.303 | 2 |
| 84 | 5 | 272 | 217 | 0.314 | 2 |
| 85 | 5 | 280 | 262 | 0.346 | 2 |
| 86 | 5 | 282 | 250 | 0.322 | 2 |
| 87 | 5 | 283 | 244 | 0.311 | 2 |
| 88 | 5 | 283 | 244 | 0.311 | 2 |
| 89 | 5 | 284 | 275 | 0.346 | 2 |
| 90 | 5 | 284 | 275 | 0.346 | 2 |
| 91 | 5 | 288 | 284 | 0.342 | 2 |
| 92 | 5 | 290 | 283 | 0.333 | 2 |
| 93 | 5 | 291 | 261 | 0.304 | 2 |
| 94 | 5 | 293 | 317 | 0.361 | 2 |
| 95 | 5 | 294 | 293 | 0.330 | 2 |
| 96 | 5 | 295 | 269 | 0.300 | 2 |
| 97 | 5 | 297 | 293 | 0.320 | 2 |
| 98 | 5 | 299 | 307 | 0.328 | * |
| 99 | 5 | 299 | 319 | 0.341 | 2 |
| 100 | 5 | 301 | 337 | 0.352 | 2 |
| 101 | 5 | 307 | 315 | 0.309 | 2 |
| 102 | 5 | 311 | 360 | 0.339 | 2 |
| 103 | 5 | 314 | 345 | 0.315 | 2 |
| 104 | 5 | 314 | 364 | 0.332 | 2 |
| 105 | 5 | 318 | 277 | 0.242 | * |
| 106 | 5 | 327 | 385 | 0.308 | 2 |
| 107 | 5 | 335 | 409 | 0.303 | 2 |
| 108 | 6 | 140 | * | * | 0 |
| 109 | 6 | 167 | 39 | 0.272 | 0 |
| 110 | 6 | 168 | 55 | 0.376 | 0 |
| 111 | 6 | 170 | 50 | 0.329 | 0 |
| 112 | 6 | 172 | 55 | 0.348 | 0 |
| 113 | 6 | 177 | 60 | 0.346 | 0 |
| 114 | 6 | 182 | 69 | 0.364 | 0 |
| 115 | 6 | 182 | 73 | 0.385 | 0 |
| 116 | 6 | 184 | 72 | 0.367 | 0 |
| 117 | 6 | 190 | 75 | 0.345 | 0 |
| 118 | 6 | 282 | 211 | 0.272 | 1 |
| 119 | 6 | 291 | 263 | 0.306 | 1 |
| 120 | 6 | 300 | 365 | 0.385 | * |
| 121 | 6 | 308 | 333 | 0.323 | 1 |
| 122 | 6 | 316 | 372 | 0.332 | 2 |
| 123. | 6 | 329 | 461 | 0.362 | 2 |

Salmon
site length weight condit. age


