

T1105265/1



Institute
Freshwater
Ecology

JULY
1990



A biological assessment of three drainage
channel sites near Kings Lynn, Norfolk

Report to - Hepworths Minerals and Chemicals

J.A.B. Bass

INSTITUTE OF FRESHWATER ECOLOGY
Eastern Rivers Laboratory
Monks wood Experimental Station
Abbots Ripton
Huntingdon
Cambs PE17 2LS

Project Leader W.Davison
Report Date: July 1990
IFE Report Number: ERG/T11052b5/1
TFS Project Number: T11052b5

This is an unpublished report and should not be cited
without permission, which should be sought through the
Director of the Institute of Freshwater Ecology in the first
instance.

The Institute of Freshwater Ecology is part of the
Terrestrial and Freshwater Sciences Directorate of the
Natural Environment Research Council.

INTRODUCTION

The discharge of pumped groundwater via settlement lakes into a receiving water course is proposed by Hepworths Minerals and Chemicals. An assessment of the impact on two potential receiving watercourses is required.

This report describes the freshwater invertebrates (identified to Family level) and general features of three sites on small drainage channels near Kings Lynn, visited on 4 July 1990.

The analysis of corresponding water samples is recorded in a separate report (by Dr. W. Davison)

SITE LOCATIONS

- 1.) Gaywood River, National Grid Reference TF 664209
- 2.) Middleton Stop Drain, N.G.R. TF 633192
- 3.) Middleton Stop Drain, N.G.R. TF 641188

SITE DESCRIPTIONS

General - The three sites are on channelised streams which have been straightened and the high banks are steeply graded.

1.) Gaywood River

Site situated about 3.5 Km east of Kings Lynn and 1 Km north of Mintlyn Wood. Channel width at water surface about 3.5 m, water depth about 0.75 m. Extensive fine silt deposits, including an ochre deposit, covered the stream bed. Sparse growths of submerged Callitriche sp., Potamogeton sp. with emergent stands of Rorippa nasturtium aquaticum and Phragmites communis were also seen.

2.) Middleton Stop Drain

Site in the Hardwick Industrial Estate on the south east fringe of Kings Lynn. Channel width about 4 m, water depth about 0.5 m. Surface water drains from the industrial premises discharged from pipes at frequent intervals along the bank (the visit coincided with heavy rainfall). No water plants seen. Flocculent, highly organic, sediment deposits were of a black, anoxic, material. A surface film of oil was present.

3.) Middleton Stop Drain

At the A149 road bridge about 1.5 Km south east of Kings Lynn. Channel width about 5 m, water depth about 0.75 m. Plants were restricted to some isolated Sparganium emmersum and bankside Phragmites communis. Deep soft sediments of silt and clay covered the stream bed. Some oil was seen on the water surface.

WATER VELOCITY

Water velocity measurements were taken using an electromagnetic flow meter (Armfield Technical Education Ltd) at site 1. The deep fine sediments at sites 2 and 3 prevented wading to record velocity profiles.

At site 1 a velocity profile was recorded under a bridge where the channel width was 3m, with a mean water depth of about 0.3m. Velocities were measured at ten points across the stream at 0.6 of the depth, values ranged from zero to a maximum of 0.157 m sec^{-1} . Mean velocity was 0.048 m sec^{-1} with a discharge rate of $43 \text{ litres sec}^{-1}$.

Lower velocities and discharges were subjectively considered to be prevailing at sites 2 and 3 on 4 July 1990.

FRESHWATER INVERTEBRATES

Identification was to Family level and a log scale of abundance in a standard collection interval of 20 secs is indicated (1-10 = "1", 10-100 = "2", 100-1000 = "3", 1000-10000 = "4").

Only aquatic fauna was recorded and the appropriate "score", as used in the Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) classification system was applied (i.e. a pollution tolerant taxon rates a low score).

Total "scores" for each site and the "average score per taxon" (ASPT) (total score / number of Families recorded) are given (Table 1). These are used to assess the invertebrate community present.

1.) Gaywood River

Twenty scoring and three non-scoring Families were found. Total Score and ASPT were 81 and 4.05 respectively. The larvae of the large mayfly, Ephemera danica was the only high scoring invertebrate recorded and was confined to this site. The remaining range of invertebrates, the Total Score and the ASPT were lower than would be anticipated for an unpolluted lowland stream.

2.) Middleton Stop Drain (Industrial Estate)

Just two low scoring Families were recorded, the Oligochaeta (worms) and larval Chironomidae (non-biting midges). The anoxic sediment would provide suitable conditions for few invertebrates.

3.) Middleton Stop Drain (A149 bridge)

Eight scoring Families were found and empty shells of two mollusc Families were present. Consequently there was a low Total Score of 32 and a similar ASPT to that found at site 1.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

1.) Invertebrate samples, water velocities and water samples taken on one occasion provide only a single "snapshot" of conditions pertaining at the three sites.

2.) The contrast between the range of invertebrates at site 1, 2 and 3 suggest that Middleton Stop Drain, both upstream and, particularly in the region of the Hardwick Industrial estate, receives some polluting inputs.

3.) The highly organic nature of the sediments at site 2. and absence of ochre deposits suggest that pollution is derived from sources unrelated to the discharge of groundwater via the Mintlyn River.

4.) The discharge of neutralised or moderately acidic groundwater via the Mintlyn River is unlikely to cause further deterioration in the faunal assemblage of the slow flowing Middleton Stop Drain. The effect may be the provision of greater dilution of other effluents entering Middleton Stop Drain.

5.) The Gaywood River has a higher (estimated) flow rate than Middleton Stop Drain, providing greater dilution for an effluent . An assessment of stream flow and buffering capacity in relation to the risk of increased deposition of ochre deposits would be appropriate, before the consequences for the invertebrate fauna were predicted.

TABLE 1 (B)

INVERTEBRATE FAMILY	BMWP SCORE	SITE		
		1	2	3
Raliplidae B29	5	1		
Hygrobilidae B30				
Dytiscidae B31	5	2		1
Gyrinidae B32				
Hydrophilidae B33				
Clambidae B34				
Helodidae B35				
Dryonidae B36				
Elminthidae B37	5	1		
Chrysoneelidae B38				
Curculionidae B39				
Aphelocheiridae A36				
Mesoveliidae A70				
Hydrozetridae A71				
Gerridae A72				
Nepidae A73				
Nancoridae B25				
Notonectidae B26	5	1		
Pleidae B27				
Corixidae B28	5	1		2
Tipulidae B41				
Simuliidae B42	5	2		
Chironomidae B58	2	4	2	3
Neritidae A61				
Viviparidae A62				
Ancylidae A63				
Unionidae A55				
Sphaeriidae B53	3	1		dead shell
Valvatidae B48				
Hydrobiidae B49	3	2		
Lymnaeidae B50	3	1		dead shell
Physidae B51	3	1		1
Planorbidae B52	3	1		1
Porifera				
Polyzoa				
Nematoda				
Cladocera				
Copepoda				1
Ostracoda		1		
Branchiura				
Velidae				
Psychodidae				
Carabogonidae		1		
Culicidae				
Syrphidae				
Muscidae				
Epididae				
Hydrachnellidae		2		
Malacostridae				
Dreissenidae				
Abolobolidae				
SCORING TAXA		20	2	8
TOTAL SCORE		81	3	32
AVERAGE SCORE PER TAXON		4.05	1.50	4.00