

An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1992. Clyde River Purification Board

R.J.M Gunn, BA J.M. Winder, BSc J.H. Blackburn, BSc J.F. Wright, PhD





INSTITUTE OF FRESHWATER ECOLOGY River Laboratory, East Stoke, Wareham, Dorset BH20 6BB

Tel: 0929 462314 Fax: 0929 462180

An audit of performance in the processing of macro-invertebrate samples in 1992. Clyde River Purification Board

R.J.M. Gunn, J.M. Winder, J.H. Blackburn & J.F. Wright

Project leader:

R J M Gunn

Report date:

February 1993

Report to:

Clyde River Purification Board

IFE Report Ref:

RL/T04071a1/12

TFS Project No:

T04071a1

This is an unpublished report and should not be cited without permission, which should be sought through the Director of the Institute of Freshwater Ecology in the first instance.

The Institute of Freshwater Ecology is part of the Terrestrial and Freshwater Sciences Directorate of the Natural Environment Research Council.



1. INTRODUCTION

In 1992 the sampling of aquatic macro-invertebrates for the biological assessment of river quality continued throughout the United Kingdom. This task was undertaken by the National Rivers Authority (NRA) in England and Wales, the River Purification Boards (RPBs) in Scotland and the Industrial Research & Technology Unit (IRTU) in Northern Ireland.

In view of the number of staff involved and the variability of sample processing techniques, it was recognised that an independent quality control exercise was necessary to promote a consistently high level of reliability. The IFE was contracted to undertake an audit of the sample sorting and identification performance of each NRA region, several RPBs and the IRTU. This report presents the results of 30 samples audited for Clyde River Purification Board. The IFE was not required to perform any statistical analyses nor interpretation of the results of the audit.

Each organisation employed standard collection procedures, as used in the 1990 River Quality Survey, and the sampling strategy was therefore compatible with RIVPACS (River InVertebrate Prediction And Classification System), which has been developed by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology (IFE).

Samples were sorted by NRA, RPB and IRTU personnel for the families of macro-invertebrates included in the Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) system. Taxa present were recorded on site data sheets. Sample processing and recording techniques varied from region to region.

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

Samples for audit were selected internally by each of the agencies being monitored. The biologists processing these samples had no prior knowledge of the samples to be audited.

The manner of sample selection, which biologists would be monitored and the number of audit samples from each season, were left to the discretion of the agency, within the limits of the total number of samples that IFE was contracted to audit.

3. SAMPLE PROCESSING

The normal protocol for NRA, RPB and IRTU biologists was to sort their samples within the laboratory and to select examples of each scoring taxon within the BMWP system. In most cases, the invertebrates were placed in a vial of preservative (4% formaldehyde solution or 70% industrial alcohol) and the BMWP taxa were listed on a data sheet. The vial of animals and the sorted material were then returned to the sample container and preservative added. Thus, each sample available to IFE for audit should have included:

- i) a list of the BMWP families found in the sample
- ii) a vial containing representatives from each family
- iii) the preserved sample

When these three elements were present, the sequence of operations at IFE was as follows:

- a) The remainder of the sample was sorted and the BMWP families listed
- b) The families contained within the vial were identified and listed
- c) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those identified from the vial by IFE
- d) A comparison was made between the RPB listing of families and those found in the sample by IFE
- e) "Losses" or "gains" from the RPB listing of families were noted. In the case of "gains", each additional family was identified, where possible, to species level, in order to clarify any specific repetitive errors.

For a number of different reasons, some samples did not include a vial containing representative examples of the families listed on the data sheet. Others arrived with the vial damaged in transit such that the representative examples were no longer separated. For these samples, only operations a), d) and e) above were appropriate.

Several directives were issued to IFE relating to the treatment of BMWP taxa. Terrestrial representatives of BMWP scoring families, animals deemed to have been dead at the time of sampling, cast insect skins, pupal exuviae, empty mollusc shells and posterior ends of "living" specimens were to be excluded from the listing of families present. Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae, which appear in the BMWP list, were also to be excluded for the purposes of the audit. Trichopteran pupae, although not routinely identified by many biologists, were to be included in the listing of families.

4. REPORTING

The results of each sample audit were recorded on a standard report form (Table 1). For audit samples where a vial of animals was included, the comparison between the RPB listing and the taxa found in the vial by IFE was shown in box A of the report form. Discrepancies could be due to carelessness, misidentifications or errors in completing the RPB data sheet. Families not on the RPB listing but found by IFE in the remainder of the sample were entered in box B of the report form under "additional families". When the families listed as "losses" in section A of the report form were compared with the full list of families recorded in the sample by IFE, some apparent losses from the vial were offset by the presence of those families in the remainder of the sample. These taxa were therefore listed in the "losses" box of section A and the "gains" box of section B and were neither a net loss nor a net gain. In these cases, the families were marked with an asterisk in both boxes. Such errors are noted as "omissions" in Tables 2, 3 and 4 which summarise the results.

Species identifications, state of development (eg adult or larval coleopterans) and the presence of a single representative of a family within the remainder of the sample were recorded in the notes section of the report form. Where the RPB data sheet indicated that a family was noted and released at the site, this was recorded in the notes section but not included as a "loss", even though the family was not found in the vial.

For those samples in which the vial of animals was damaged or missing, box A of the report form was not applicable (N/a). Families not on the list but present in the sample were entered in box B under "additional families" as before. Families recorded on the list but not found by IFE were indicated on the left hand side of box B. If the vial of animals was retained by the sorter, entries in this box could include the sole representative of a family which was removed, a family seen at the site which escaped or was released (without mention being made on the data sheet), inaccurate identification, the wrong family box being ticked on the data sheet or the family being present in the sample but missed by IFE.

Results of the audits of individual samples are presented in the Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Kay Symes for help with production of results and to Valerie Palmer for typing the manuscript.

1992 RIVER Q	UALITY SURVEY AQC - BIO	LOGICAL SAMPLES		
REGION	RIVER			
DATE				
SORTER SAMPLE CODE				
AQC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	IAL B. IN SA	MPLE		
A VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE				
i) BMWP families listed	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE (This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
NOTES:	NET LOSSES	NET GAINS		

TABLE 2. The 10 spring samples audited for Clyde RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
					,
Black Cart Water	Milliken Park	MK	0	0	0
Black Cart Water	Linwood Bridge	DG	. 1	3	0
Douglas Water	A74 Bridge	DG	0	6	0
Garnock	Kilwinning	KA	0	1	0
Bothlin Burn	Bothlin Foot	· SC	0	1	0
Saddell Water	B842 Bridge	SC	0	1	0
Lugton Water	A737 Bridge	MK	0	0	0
Myoch Burn	d/s Old Bridge	MT	0	2	0
Loin	Stronafyne	MT	0	2	1
Gryfe	Milton Bridge	KA	0	0	0

TABLE 2. The 10 summer samples audited for Clyde RPB.

Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Elvanfoot	MT	0	2	0
u/s Fish Farm	KA	0	0	0
Tidal Weir	SC	0	0	0
A816 Bridge	DG	0	2	0
u/s Loch Doon	MK	0	0	. 0
Botanic Gardens	MT	0	0	. 0
Dalmamock Rail Bridge	DG	0	1	0
Kelvingrove	MK	0	1	0
Drymen Bridge	KA	0	1	0
Roberton	SC	0	0	0
	Elvanfoot u/s Fish Farm Tidal Weir A816 Bridge u/s Loch Doon Botanic Gardens Dalmamock Rail Bridge Kelvingrove Drymen Bridge	Elvanfoot MT u/s Fish Farm KA Tidal Weir SC A816 Bridge DG u/s Loch Doon MK Botanic Gardens MT Dalmarnock Rail Bridge DG Kelvingrove MK Drymen Bridge KA	Elvanfoot MT 0 u/s Fish Farm KA 0 Tidal Weir SC 0 A816 Bridge DG 0 u/s Loch Doon MK 0 Botanic Gardens MT 0 Dalmamock Rail Bridge DG 0 Kelvingrove MK 0 Drymen Bridge KA 0	Elvanfoot MT 0 2 u/s Fish Farm KA 0 0 Tidal Weir SC 0 0 A816 Bridge DG 0 2 u/s Loch Doon MK 0 0 Botanic Gardens MT 0 0 Dalmamock Rail Bridge DG 0 1 Kelvingrove MK 0 1 Drymen Bridge KA 0 1

TABLE 4. The 10 autumn samples audited for Clyde RPB.

River	Site	Sorter	Losses	Gains	Omissions
Cur	Bridgend	DG	0	3	0
Kelvin	Torrance	KA	0	0	0
Caplerig /Auldhouse Burn	Carnwadric	MK	0	0	0
Caplerig /Auldhouse Burn	u/s Mearns STW	MT	0	1	0
Kelvin	Twechar	SC	0	1	0
Rumbling Burn	B749 Bridge	KA	0	0	0
Dhish Water	Pinwherry Bridge	MT	0	1	0
Shellish	Glenbranter	MK	0	2	0
Croe Water	Ardgarten	SC	0	0	0
Carmel Water	u/s Kilmaurs	DG	0	3	0

APPENDIX

Results of individual sample audits

REG	TON Clyde RPB	RIVER B1	ack Cart Water			
D	ATE 15.5.92	SITE Mi	lliken Park			
SOR	TER MK	SAMPLE CODE 16	7			
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE					
N	HOTES:	NET LOSSES (NET GAINS 0			
	,					

REG	ION Clyde RPB	RIVER	Black C	art Water		
D.	ATE 15.5.92	SITE	Linwood	Bridge		
SOR	TER DG	SAMPLE CODE	169			
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT	Г	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Planorbidae		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO' FOUND BY IFE	r	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co	- '	2 Dendrocoelidae 3 Valvatidae 4 Dytiscidae		
ŀ	NOTES:	NET LOSSES	1	NET GAINS 3		
	1 Empty shell in vial 2 Dendrocoelum lacteum 3 Valvata cristata 1 on 4 Oreodytes sanmarkii (ly				

REG:	ION Clyde RPB	RIVER Douglas	s Water			
Da	ATE 18.5.92	SITE A74 Br	idge			
SOR	TER DG	SAMPLE CODE 174				
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	FOUND BY IFE (This box only completed when no vial is	1 Nemouridae			
N	OTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 6			
	1 Amphinemura sulcicolli 2 Chloroperla torrentium 3 Hydraena gracilis (adu 4 Sialis lutaria 1 only 5 Odontocerum albicorne 6 Athripsodes bilineatus	n 1 only ult) 1 only	•			

REGI	ON	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Garnocl	ς
DA	NTE [14.5.92	SITE	Kilwinn	ning
SORT	TER [KA	SAMPLE CODE	154	
AQC OF	F BMW	P FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	3. IN SAN	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) B	erences between: MWP families listed n sample data sheet	None		None
li	ii) B	and MWP families found n VIAL by IFE			·
		·			
В	<u></u>		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) B o ii) B	SAMPLE erences between: MWP families listed n sample data sheet and MWP families found n SAMPLE by IFE		_	1 Tipulidae
NC F	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1
	1 An	tocha vitripennis 1	only		

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER Bothli	n Burn			
Ι	DATE 4.6.92	SITE Bothli	n Foot			
SOF	RTER SC	SAMPLE CODE 269				
AQC (QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE			
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None			
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES			
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1 Hydrophilidae			
1	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1			
	1 Indet Hydrophilid (la	rva) 1 only				

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER	Saddel	Water		
Ĺ	DATE 20.5.92	SITE	B842 B1	ridge		
SOF	RTER SC	SAMPLE CODE	194			
AQC C	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES N FOUND BY IFE	ОТ	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES N FOUND BY IFE	OT)	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1	1 Simuliidae		
1	NOTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 1		
	1 Simulium sp. (larva)	l only				

REC	GION [Clyde RPB	RIVER	Lugton	Water	
Γ	DATE [14.5.92	SITE	A737 B1	ridge	
SOF	RTER [МК	SAMPLE CODE	158		
AQC (QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +					
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Ϋ́	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE	
	i) B c ii) B	erences between: WWP families listed on sample data sheet and WWP families found n VIAL by IFE	11		None	
			•			
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES	
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			None	
1	NOTES		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0	

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Myoc	h Burn
DATE	13.5.92	SITE d/s	Old Bridge
SORTER	MT	SAMPLE CODE 142	
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN	SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) ii)	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В	GAMEY E	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) ii)	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		
	-		
NOTES	:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 2
	Potamopyrgus jenkinsi Nydraena gracilis (adu		
		·	

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER	Loin	
Ι	DATE 5.5.92	SITE	Strona	fyne
SOF	RTER MT	SAMPLE CODE	139	
AQC (OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	1 Baetidae*		None
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
			-	2 Baetidae* 3 Taeniopterygidae 4 Goeridae
ì	NOTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
	2 Baetis rhodani, B.verr 3 Brachyptera risi 4 Silo pallipes 1 only	านธ		

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER G	ryfe
DATE	15.5.92	SITE M	ilton Bridge
SORTER	R KA	SAMPLE CODE 1	61
AQC OF E	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i)	fferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В	CANTY	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i	SAMPLE ifferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		
	·		
NOTE	ES:	NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 0
			•

REC	Clyde RPB	RIVER Clyde	÷
Ľ	NATE 16.6.92	SITE Elvar	nfoot
SOR	TER MT	SAMPLE CODE 299	
AQC C	F BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN S	SAMPLE +
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
		,	
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed	(This box only complete when no vial is supplied with sample)	1 Polycentropodidae 2 Psychomyiidae
•			•
,	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 2
	1 Polycentropus flavomac 2 Psychomyia pusilla 1 c		

REG:	ION Clyde RPB	RIVER Eas a'	Chais
D,	ATE 26.6.92	SITE u/s Fi	sh Farm
SOR	TER KA	SAMPLE CODE 340	V
AQC O	F BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN SAM	MPLE +
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) BMWP families listed	(This box only completed	None
		·	
N	OTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0
in the state of			

REG	ION Clyde RPB	RIVER Clyde			
D	ATE 16.6.92	SITE Tidal	Weir		
SOR	TER SC	SAMPLE CODE 311			
AQC O	QC OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VIAL + B. IN SAMPLE +				
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE		
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None		
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES		
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	FOUND BY IFE (This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	None		
Ŋ	IOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0		
	·				

REC	SION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Barbre	ck
Σ	ATE	26.6.92	SITE	A816 B:	ridge
SOR	RTER	DG	SAMPLE CODE	337	
AQC C	OF BMV	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
А		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) H	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В	i) H	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		ompleted	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES 1 Dytiscidae 2 Elmidae
4		: reodytes sanmarkii (a lmis aenea (adult) 1		0	NET GAINS 2
	Z E.	imis aenea (aduit) i	OHTY		

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER	Gala La	ane
I	DATE 23.6.92	SITE [u/s Loc	ch Doon
SOF	RTER MK	SAMPLE CODE [322	
AQC (OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	IAL + B	. IN SAN	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		None
1	NOTES:	NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 0

REG	ION Clyde RPB	RIVER	Kelvin	
D.	ATE 12.6.92	SITE	Botanio	c Gardens
SOR	TER MT	SAMPLE CODE	.297	
AQC O	F BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	 AL + I	3. IN SAN	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	т	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		None
N	OTES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Clyde	
DATE	16.6.92	SITE [Dalmarı	nock Rail Bridge
SORTER	DG	SAMPLE CODE [310	
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B	. IN SAM	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) 1 (ii) 1	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	Ϋ́T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) 1 ii) 1	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		1 Corixidae
NOTES		NET LOSSES [0	NET GAINS 1
1 1	ndet Corixid (nymph)	1 only		

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER Kelvin	
	DATE 12.6.92	SITE Kelvin	grove
SOF	TER MK	SAMPLE CODE 298	
AQC C	OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN SA	MPLE +
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
:	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	1 Sphaeriidae
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		. None
Ň	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1
-	1 Sphaerium sp.		

REC	GION Clyde RPB	RIVER Endr	ick Water
I	DATE 20.8.92	SITE Drym	en Bridge
SOF	RTER KA	SAMPLE CODE 398	
AQC (OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN	SAMPLE +
Α	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1 Glossiphoniidae ed
1	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1
	1 Helobdella stagnalis 1	l only	

REC	SION Clyde RPB	RIVER Clyde	
C	DATE 16.6.92	SITE Robert	on
SOR	TER SC	SAMPLE CODE 300	
AQC C	OF BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and ii) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В	SAMPLE	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed	(This box only completed when no vial is supplied with sample)	None
		, , ,	
ì	NOTES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 0

REC	SION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Cur	
I	DATE	20.10.92	SITE	Bridge	nd
SOF	RTER	DG ·	SAMPLE CODE	577	
AQC (OF BMV	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + I	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
Α		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	Gerences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE		_	1 Ephemerellidae 2 Taeniopterygidae 3 Limnephilidae
N	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 3
	2 B1	ohemerella ignita 1 c cachyptera risi ndet Limnephilid (juv			

REGIO	ON Clyde RPB	RIVER	Kelvin	
DAT	TE 13.10.92	SITE	Torran	ce
SORTE	ER KA	SAMPLE CODE	528	
AQC OF	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN V	[AL +]	3. IN SAN	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i	SAMPLE Differences between: () BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and () BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co		None
LON TON		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0
N	ote on data sheet says	"2 pots". Only 1	received	oy Ire.

REC	GION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Capler	ig/Auldhouse Burn
[DATE	1.10.92	SITE	Carnwa	dric
SOF	RTER	МК	SAMPLE CODE	468	
AQC (OF BM	VP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + I	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	Ferences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE)T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only co	-	None
) N	IOTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0
	NOTE	e on data sheet says	2 pois . Only 1	1ece1ve0	· Uy IFE.

REG10	ON Clyde RPB	RIVER Capler	ig/Auldhouse Burn
DA?	TE 1.10.92	SITE u/s Mea	arns STW
SORTI	ER MT	SAMPLE CODE 467	
AQC OF	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
j	Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i	SAMPLE Differences between: i) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and i) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		1 Polycentropodidae
NOT	TES:	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1
1	l Plectrocnemia sp. (juv	enile) 1 only	

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Celvin
DATE	13.10.92	SITE T	wechar
SORTER	SC	SAMPLE CODE 5	25
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL + B.	IN SAMPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) l	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) l	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	(This box only comp when no vial is	
NOTES	:	NET LOSSES	0 NET GAINS 1
1 G	yraulus albus 1 only		

REC	GION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Rumblin	ng Burn
ľ	ATE	7.10.92	SITE	B749 B1	ridge
SORTER KA		KA	SAMPLE CODE	501	
AQC (OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B	. IN SAN	APLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	Γ	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) H	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NOT	r	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) H	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			None
N	IOTES :	:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0

REGION	Clyde RPB	RIVER Dhish	Water
DATE	29.9.92	SITE Pinwhe	ггу Bridge
SORTER	МТ	SAMPLE CODE 460	
AQC OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + B. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NOT FOUND BY IFE	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i) 1 (ii) 1	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None	None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NOT	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i) l	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE	l I	1 Erpobdellidae
NOTES 1 E	: rpobdella octoculata	NET LOSSES 0	NET GAINS 1

REC	GION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Shelli	sh
I	DATE	20.10.92	SITE	Glenbra	anter
SOI	RTER	МК	SAMPLE CODE	576	
AQC (OF BM	WP FAMILIES A. IN VI	AL +	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) E	ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE ferences between: BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE			1 Asellidae 2 Taeniopterygidae
N	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 2
		sellus aquaticus 1 on achyptera risi	ly		

REC	GION	Clyde RPB	RIVER	Croe W	ater
Ι	DATE	20.10.92	SITE	Ardgar	ten
SOI	RTER	sc	SAMPLE CODE	579	
AQC (OF BM	VP FAMILIES A. IN V	IAL + I	3. IN SAM	MPLE +
A		VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
	i) F	Gerences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None .
В			BMWP FAMILIES NO	OT T	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
	i) E	SAMPLE Serences between: SMWP families listed on sample data sheet and SMWP families found on SAMPLE by IFE		_	None
		·			
N	OTES:		NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 0

REGIO:	N Clyde RPB	RIVER	Carmel	Water
DAT	E 7.10.92	SITE	u/s Ki	lmaurs
SORTE	R DĠ	SAMPLE CODE	502	
AQC OF I	BMWP FAMILIES A. IN V	[AL +	3. IN SA	MPLE +
A	VIAL	BMWP FAMILIES NO FOUND BY IFE	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES FOUND BY IFE
i	ifferences between:) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and) BMWP families found in VIAL by IFE	None		None
В		BMWP FAMILIES NO	TC	ADDITIONAL FAMILIES
i	SAMPLE ifferences between:) BMWP families listed on sample data sheet and) BMWP families found in SAMPLE by IFE		_	1 Ephemerellidae 2 Rhyacophilidae 3 Sericostomatidae
			· .	
NOTE	ES:	NET LOSSES	0	NET GAINS 3
2	Ephemerella ignita 1 c Rhyacophila dorsalis (Sericostoma personatum	larva + pupae)		

