Identification of *Onopordum* pollen using the extreme learning machine, a type of 1 2 artificial neural network 3 Yılmaz Kaya^a, S. Mesut Pınar^b, M. Emre Erez^{c*}, Mehmet Fidan^c and James B. Riding^d 4 5 ^aDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, 6 Siirt University, 56100 Siirt, Turkey; ^bDepartment of Biology, Faculty of Science, Yüzüncü Yıl 7 University, 65080 Van, Turkey; ^cDepartment of Biology, Faculty of Science and Art, Siirt 8 University, 56100 Siirt, Turkey; ^dBritish Geological Survey, Environmental Science Centre, 9 10 Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, United Kingdom 11 12 *Corresponding author. E-mail: emreerez@hotmail.com 13 Pollen grains are complex three-dimensional structures, and are identified using specific 14 15 distinctive morphological characteristics. An efficient automatic system for the accurate and rapid identification of pollen grains would significantly enhance the consistency, objectivity, 16 17 speed and perhaps accuracy of pollen analysis. This study describes the development and testing of an expert system for the identification of pollen grains based on their respective 18 19 morphologies. The extreme learning machine (ELM) is a type of artificial neural network, and has been used for automatic pollen identification. To test the equipment and the method, 20 21 pollen grains from ten species of *Onopordum* (a thistle genus) from Turkey were used. In 22 total, 30 different images were acquired for each of the ten species studied. The images were 23 then used to 11 measure morphological parameters; these were the colpus length, the colpus width, the equatorial axis (E), the polar axis (P), the P/E ratio, the columellae length, the 24 echinae length, and the thicknesses of the exine, intine, nexine and tectum. Pollen recognition 25 was performed using the ELM for the 50–50%, 70–30% and 80–20% training-test partitions 26 of the overall dataset. The classification accuracies of these three training-test partitions of 27 were 84.67%, 91.11% and 95.00% respectively. Therefore, the ELM exhibited a very high 28 success rate for identifying the pollen types considered here. The use of computer-based 29

systems for pollen recognition has great potential in all areas of palynology for the accurate and rapid accumulation of data.

Keywords: artificial neural network; automatic identification; expert system; extreme learning machine; *Onopordum*; pollen; Turkey

1. Introduction and background

Pollen grains are produced by seed plants to disseminate their haploid male genetic material.

Each pollen grain contains a generative cell (the male gametes) and a vegetative cell or cells,
surrounded by a cellulose cell wall and a tough outer wall made of the resistant
polysaccharide sporopollenin (Edlund et al. 2004). The morphology of pollen grains is
extremely characteristic and pollen can, by itself, be used as a proxy for the respective parent
plant. These features are used to identify taxa and hence are useful for establishing

phylogenies (e.g. Clark et al. 1980). Pollen analysis is an extremely important discipline and its practitioners, termed palynologists, study diverse topics such as the indications and timings

of anthropological activity, limnology, rapid climatic/ecological change and vegetational

history (e.g. Moore et al. 1991). Pollen morphology is an essential part of general plant

morphology, and hence plays a critical role in research into taxonomy and evolution. Most

morphological features of pollen allow identification only to the generic level. This is because

the majority of morphological characters are very similar within a genus, and it is normally

difficult to subdivide genera using conventional light microscopical techniques.

The traditional method of pollen identification using a transmitted light microscope requires an experienced palynologist, and can be somewhat time-consuming. Hence an automated system for the location of pollen grains on microscope slides and their identification would be hugely beneficial in the interests of economics and efficiency in all types of pollen analysis. Several attempts at developing reliable expert systems have been made, and these are reviewed in section 2 below.

In this study, an automatic pollen recognition system using a neural network is trialled. A learning algorithm termed the extreme learning machine (ELM) was used to perform the analyses on ten species of a thistle genus *Onopordum* (Family Asteraceae, Subfamily Carduoideae, Tribe Cynareae). The ELM is a single hidden layer feed-forward neural network (SLFN), and is a specialised artificial neural network (ANN) model. With the ELM, the weightings belonging to neurons at the input layer, and the bias values belonging to neurons in the hidden and input layers are all randomly-generated. By contrast, the outputs from the hidden layer are computed analytically (Huang & Siew 2005, Li et al. 2005, Huang et al. 2006a,b, Rong et al. 2008, Suresh et al. 2010). The most significant feature of the ELM model is that the learning process is very efficient. It can learn thousands of times faster than conventional learning algorithms for feed-forward neural networks. The learning speed of other feed-forward neural networks is typically relatively slow, largely due to the slow gradient-based learning algorithms used in the training procedure (Huang et al. 2006b).

Automated recognition tools such as the ELM, and the necessary computer hardware, are presently at a stage where these methods can potentially be routinely applied to the analysis of pollen assemblages. In theory, automated pollen identification and classification should remove analytical subjectivity and inconsistencies between operators. Furthermore, analyses should be completed more rapidly than with an actual palynologist, hence making savings in terms of both time and labour. Automatic systems can be rapidly programmed to analyse different pollen assemblages in terms of geographical locus, geological age and taxonomic focus (families, genera, species etc.). This makes them potentially more adaptable than any single palynologist.

2. Previous research on the automated identification of pollen

83

Several studies have attempted the digital identification of pollen using artificial intelligent systems, and selected relevant studies are briefly reviewed here. Early studies include Langford et al. (1990) and Vezey & Skvarla (1990), who undertook research into pollen recognition using the scanning electron microscope (SEM), and achieved promising results.

Both these studies developed computer systems which were designed to classify pollen grains based on their surface texture. However SEM analysis is relatively expensive and rather slow, and hence is unsuitable for applications which require data and interpretations in a short timeframe. Benyon et al. (1999) used image analysis to attempt to differentiate eleven allergenic fungal spore genera. This study was based on 24 morphological features extracted from digitised images. These authors found that using linear and quadratic discriminant analysis allowed the recognition of both genera and species with a high level of accuracy. France et al. (2000) developed a new approach to this problem based on improving the quality of the image processing with a traditional optical microscope. These authors were able to differentiate between pollen grains and palynodebris, and to classify three different pollen types correctly. Jones (2000) and Ronneberger (2000) investigated pollen recognition using two-dimensional statistical classification and three-dimensional greyscale invariants with confocal microscopy respectively. Boucher et al. (2002) developed a semi-automatic system for pollen recognition. Digitised three-dimensional photographs of Cupressaceae (cypress), Olea (olive), Poaceae (grasses) and Urticaceae (nettle) pollen were image-processed in two and three dimensions, and around 77% of the pollen grains were identified by this system, which worked especially well for pollen from the families Poaceae and Urticaceae. Rodriguez-Damian et al. (2006) developed an automatic system for the identification of species of pollen from the Family Urticaceae using a combination of shape and textural analysis. This system achieved 89% of reliable pollen identifications.

Li & Flenley (1999) successfully used texture analysis to identify pollen using transmitted light microscope images with neural network analysis, which is a statistical classifier. Ranzato et al. (2007) developed a microscopic image analysis system. This four-stage process was first used to classify 12 microscopic particle types found in human urine, where it achieved a 93.2% success rate. It was then trained and tested on a set of images of airborne pollen grains, where it generated 83% of positive identifications. Allen et al. (2008) and Holt et al. (2011) developed an automated system that locates, photographs, identifies and counts pollen on a conventional microscope slide. The images in Holt et al. (2011) were analysed with an array of mathematically-defined parameters defined by Zhang et al. (2004), and the feature sets obtained were classified using similar sets from known pollen types. The images produced were then checked by a palynologist. Holt et al. (2011) produced pollen counts which only vary within 1–4% of the results produced conventionally by a palynologist.

An innovative methodology to discriminate three species of pollen from the Family Urticaceae (*Parietaria judaica*, *Urtica membranacea* and *Urtica urens*) using computer techniques for the definition of digital shape parameters to represent a pollen grain was developed by de Sá-Otero et al. (2004). This system uses area, diameter, mean distance to centroid and roundness, and achieved an at least 86% success rate.

Ticay-Rivas et al. (2011) used Fourier descriptors of the morphological details (geometrical parameters) of 17 honey plant pollen species using discrete cosine transform, together with colour information in order to effect automatic identifications. These authors used a multi-layer neural network, and their method acheived a mean of 96.49% ±1.15 for successful identifications. Recently Kaya et al. (2013) described an expert computer system using a rough set approach for the automatic classification of 20 types of *Onopordum* pollen. Each pollen grain was comprehensively photographed, with 30 different images captured. Key morphological parameters such as the colpus length, the P/E ratio and the echinae length were measured. The dataset of Kaya et al. (2013) comprised 600 pollen samples; 440 samples were used for training the expert system, and the remaining 160 were used for testing using the rough set approach. This method correctly identified 145 of the 160 pollen grains tested, a success rate of over 90%.

3. The plant family Asteraceae and the genus *Onopordum*

This study is an attempt to distinguish species of *Onopordum* L., a genus of thistles within the Family Asteraceae using automatic pollen identification. The Asteraceae are commonly referred to as the aster or daisy family. It is the largest family of flowering plants, and was formerly known as the Compositae (Wagenitz 1976, Bremer 1994, Funk et al. 2005, Panero & Funk 2008). This major plant family is extremely geographically widespread, and is represented by over 1600 genera and approximately 23000 species of herbs, shrubs and trees throughout the world (Kubitzki 2007). Of these taxa, 143 genera and approximately 1484 species are present in Turkey (Davis 1975, Özhatay et al. 2009). Pollen grains of the Asteraceae are relatively similar in overall morphology throughout the family. The genus

Onopordum L. is a thistle genus within the Subfamily Carduoideae of the Asteraceae, and includes around 60 species which inhabit north Africa, west and central Asia, the Canary Islands and Europe (Kubitzki 2007). In Turkey, Onopordum comprises 19 species, and 2 subspecies (Danin 1975, Davis et al. 1988, Özhatay et al. 1994, Güner et al. 2000). Onopordum pollen is oblate-spheroidal in shape and the grains occur as monads (Plate 1). Most of the measurable morphological characters are similar in Onopordum, and it is difficult to consistently distinguish the species from one another using normal microscopy techniques.

4. Material studied

The pollen grains of the constituent genera within the Family Asteraceae are morphologically very similar, hence they are eminently suitable for the testing of digital identification methods. Material used in this study was 10 species of *Onopordum* which were collected from wild populations in Turkey. Plant specimens and permanent pollen slides have been deposited in the herbarium and the pollen reference collection respectively of the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Yüzüncü Yıl University, 65080 Van, Turkey.

Pollen was prepared using the technique of Wodehouse (1959); the mounting medium used was glycerin-jelly mixed with 1% Safranin. The slides were studied using an Olympus CX31 light microscope with a 100x oil immersion objective. Measurements were based on 30 images of each of the specimens studied, which were manipulated manually where necessary. The specimens were photographed; the resolution of the digital images was 710×720 pixels. All measurements of the pollen grains were made using Olympus Stream micro-imaging software, a computer program; that automatically calculates the distance from any two points.

The polar axis (P) and the equatorial axis (E) were measured in all the specimens, and the P/E ratio calculated. It should be noted that the term equatorial axis is often inappropriately used as a synonym for the equatorial diameter (Punt et al. 2007). Additionally, the colpus length and width, the lengths of the columellae and echinae, and the thicknesses of the exine, intine, nexine and tectum were also measured (Plate 1). These 11 parameters are all used for the identification of pollen grains in the Family Asteraceae, and were deemed to be

appropriate for use in digital identification. The pollen terminology of Faegri et al. (1989) and Punt et al. (2007) was used.

183

181

182

184

5. The methodology of the extreme learning machine (ELM)

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

- Feed-forward neural networks (FFNNs) are ideal classifiers for nonlinear mapping investigations that utilise a gradient descent approach for weights and bias optimisation. The important factors that influence the performance of a traditional FFNN algorithm include three important features. The first are small values for the learning parameters which cause the learning algorithm to converge slowly, whereas higher values lead to instability and divergence to a local minimum. The second is that conventional neural networks may be over-trained using back propagation and normally generate inferior generalisation performance. Finally, gradient descent-based learning is an extremely time consuming process for most applications. To overcome these problems, Huang & Siew (2005), Li et al. (2005) and Huang et al. (2006a,b) proposed a learning algorithm called the extreme learning machine (ELM) for single-hidden layer feed-forward networks (SLFNs). The ELM is a SLFN model in which the input weights are random, and the output weights are obtained analytically (Liang et al. 2006, Yuan et al. 2011). The SLFN structure is illustrated in Figure 1. The authors believe that the ELM should be tested in the automatic identification of pollen grains. This method is potentially superior to other methods such as decision tree and linear discriminant analysis. Furthermore, the ELM offers faster learning times than other neural networks. Specifically, the five most important features of the ELM are listed below:
 - The ELM is extremely fast
 - The ELM has better generalisation performance
 - The ELM tends to reach solutions in a straightforward manner without extraneous issues such as local minima, learning rate, momentum rate and over-fitting, which are all encountered in traditional gradient-based learning algorithms

- The ELM algorithm can be used to train SLFNs, with many non-differentiable activation functions
- The ELM randomly chooses and fixes the weights between the input and hidden neurons based on continuous probability density functions, which is a uniform distribution function in the range -1 to +1. Then it calculates analytically the weights between the hidden neurons and the output neurons of the SLFN.

- According to Figure 1, on determining that $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_N)$ is input and
- 217 $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, ..., Y_N)$ is output, the mathematical model with M hidden neurons is defined as
- 218 in Suresh et al. (2010):

219
$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \beta_i g(W_i X_k + b_i) = O_k \quad , \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \dots N$$
 (1)

- Where $W_i = (W_{i1}, W_{i2}, W_{i3}....W_{in})$ and $\beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \beta_{i3}....\beta_{im})$ are the input and output
- weights; b_i is the bias of the hidden neuron and O_k is the output of the network. g(.) denotes
- the activation function (Rong et al., 2008).
- In a network of N training samples, the aim is zero error: $\sum_{k=1}^{N} (O_k Y_k) = 0$ or with
- 224 minimum error: $\sum_{k=1}^{N} (o_k Y_k)^2$. Therefore, Equation 1 can be shown as below (see Huang et
- 225 al. 2006b):

226

227
$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \beta_i g(W_i X_k + b_i) = Y_k \quad , \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$$
 (2)

228

- This is because, in the equation above, $g(W_iX_k + b_i)$ denotes the output matrix in the hidden
- layer; Equation 2 is therefore as in Huang et al. (2006b):

$$H\beta = Y \tag{3}$$

This is where:

235
$$H(W_{1},.....W_{M};b_{1},.....b_{M};X_{1},....X_{N}) = \begin{bmatrix} g(W_{1}X_{1} + b_{1})....g(W_{M}X_{M} + b_{M}) \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ g(W_{1}X_{N} + b_{1}).....g(W_{M}X_{N} + b_{M}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

236 And

237
$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1^T \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \beta_M^T \end{bmatrix}_{Mxm} \quad and \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^T \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Y_N^T \end{bmatrix}_{Nxm}$$
 (5)

- 239 This is where H is the hidden layer output matrix. Training of a network in a traditional feed-
- forward ANN means seeking a solution for the least squares in a linear equation of $H\beta = Y$
- in the ELM (Suresh et al., 2010).
- 242 $\hat{\beta} = H^+ Y$ is the smallest norm least-squares of $H\beta = Y$. In addition, H^+ denotes the Moore-
- Penrose generalised inverse of the hidden-layer output matrix H. The norm of $\hat{\beta}$ is the
- smallest solution among all the least-squares solutions of the $H\beta = Y$ equation (Huang et al.,
- 245 2006b). Therefore the ELM can minimise the training error.
- The ELM algorithm can be summarised in three stages as follows:
- The $W_i = (W_{i1}, W_{i2}, W_{i3}, ..., W_{in})$ input weights and hidden layer b_i bias values
- 248 are produced randomly
- 249 2. The H hidden layer output is computed
- 250 3. The $\hat{\beta}$ output weights are computed according to $\hat{\beta} = H^+ Y$. Y is a decision
- 251 feature.

252	In this study, an automatic model based on the ELM method was used for the			
253	identi	entification of <i>Onopordum</i> pollen. A block diagram describing this model is illustrated in		
254	Figure	e 2. The proce	ess comprises five blocks, which are summarised below:	
255		Block 1:	Obtaining 30 images in different orientations for each of the 10 species	
256		studied		
257		Block 2:	Obtaining the key 11 morphometric measurements for each pollen	
258		image		
259		Block 3:	Division of the pollen data sets into training-test partitions at different	
260		rates, i.e. 50	–50%, 70–30% and 80–20%	
261		Block 4:	Classification of the training-test partitions through the ELM	
262		Block 5:	Presentation of the classification results, i.e. the decision stage	
263				
264				
265	6.	Results		
266				
267	<i>6.1.</i>	Parameter s	selection	
268				
269	In this	s study, morpl	nological features that were measured from pollen images were processed	
270	by the ELM to effect pollen identification. The 11 parameters used in the ELM network are			
271	listed in Table 1. The performance of the ELM network depends on the number of neurons in			
272	the hidden layer and the activation function that was used. Consequently, the appropriateness			
273	of the parameters in Table 1 were decided as a result of trials. Hence, activation functions			
274	such as sigmoid, tangent sigmoid, sine and radial basis were used for the training and testing			

of the network. The numbers of neurons in the hidden layer between 10 and 100 were

appropriate activation function and neuron number were finalised only after exhaustive

appropriate activation function was tangent-sigmoid.

training and testing of the network. For the identification of *Onopordum* pollen, the most

finalised by being tested, and this figure was iterated by increasing it one-by-one. The most

275

276

277

278

6.2. Results derived from the experiments using the extreme learning machine

The pollen identification experiments were conducted by performing training test sets at the rates of 50–50%, 70–30% and 80–20% through the ELM with the overall pollen dataset. The classification accuracies of these training-test partitions were 84.67%, 91.11% and 95.00%, respectively (Table 2). These accuracies demonstrate that the ELM is consistently very effective. It was found that the ELM has sufficient identification resolution to discriminate *Onopordum* pollen at the species level. In Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5, the ELM performance values related to changes in neuron number used in the hidden layer are illustrated for the training-testing rates of 50–50%, 70–30% and 80–20%, respectively.

Different machine learning methods were also used here for automatic pollen identification using the same dataset and images. The accuracies of an artificial neural network (ANN), a support vector machine (SVM; see Chang & Lin 2001), the J48 decision tree method (Quinlan 1993), PART (Eibe & Witten 1998), a logistic regression and the ELM machine learning methods for different training-test partitions were given in Table 2. The ELM gave the highest accuracy for *Onopordum* pollen identification (Table 2).

7. Conclusions

Specific features of pollen can help to identify grains to family or genus level using automated diagnostic systems. These methods potentially allow the accurate and rapid identification of pollen grains, and will be useful in all areas of palynology. In this study, pattern recognition methods were used to determine the pollen type.

Morphological characteristics are normally used for identification in plant systematics at all levels from classes to subspecies/varieties. However, at the lower levels, other techniques may be useful to complement the morphological parameters. Pollen morphologies

are relatively diverse, and the classification at the family and genus level should be relatively straightforward using traditional microscopy. Computer systems, however, have great potential for performing automatic identifications at the species level and below, due largely to apparent morphological similarities. Hence, the development of automated digital identification systems is predicted to be a significant growth area in the future. The positive results obtained herein from the large and diverse Family Asteraceae, should facilitate more studies on the digital identification of the pollen of other plant families. This field is a rapidly-developing one, and much more experimentation is needed using different characters and criteria in order to improve taxonomic accuracies.

In this study, a highly successful approach to automatic pollen recognition and classification using the ELM is demonstrated. The classification process was accomplished using 11 morphological characters for 10 different types of pollen. The identification accuracies of the training-test sections of 50–50%, 70–30% and 80–20% were 84.67%, 91.11% and 95.00% respectively (Table 2). The results herein using the ELM compare very well with other expert systems for identifying pollen grains. The identification rate of automatic diagnostic systems will potentially be higher than results obtained manually because of the strict morphometric approach of the former.

Acknowledgements

Author biographies

The authors wish to thank Dr Katherine Holt of Massey University, New Zealand and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive and perceptive critiques of the original manuscript. James B. Riding publishes with the approval of the Executive Director, British Geological Survey (NERC).

338 339 340 341 342 343	YILMAZ KAYA received MSc and PhD degrees in Bioinformatics and Genetics from Yuzuncu Yıl University, Van, Turkey in 2006 and 2011 respectively. Currently he is working in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at Siirt University in Siirt, Turkey. Yılmaz's research interests include artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer vision systems and statistical modeling.
344345346347	S. MESUT PINAR is a botanist working at Yüzüncü Yıl University in Van, Turkey. Mesut specialises on plant taxonomy, and works on a wide variety of domestic research projects. His other research interests include ecology, karyology and palynology.
348 349 350 351	M. EMRE EREZ is a plant physiologist working on the relationship between allelopathy and the environment. Emre is a specialist on pollen and seed germination. His other research areas include the use of artificial intelligence in biological systems.
352 353 354 355	MEHMET FİDAN is a biologist specialising on systematic botany. He is currently a PhD student working on the plant genus <i>Gypsophila</i> . He also researches in molecular polygenies and palynology.
356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363	JAMES B. RIDING is a palynologist with the British Geological Survey based in Nottingham, United Kingdom. Jim is a specialist on Mesozoic-Cenozoic palynology, and works on a wide variety of domestic and international projects. One of his principal tasks is a RCUK Individual Merit research programme entitled <i>Jurassic dinoflagellate cyst palaeobiology and its applications</i> . His other research interests include forensic palynology, the history of palynology, the palynology of hyperthermal events, palynomorph extraction/preparation and provincialism. Jim is currently the Secretary-Treasurer of the International Federation of Palynological Societies (IFPS).
365	

366	References
367	
368 369 370 371	Allen GP, Hodgson RM, Marsland SR, Flenley JR. 2008. Machine vision for automated optical recognition and classification of pollen grains or other singulated microscopic images. Fifteenth International Conference on Mechanotronics and Machine Vision in Practice. Auckland, New Zealand, 2nd–4th December 2008, 221–226.
373 374	Benyon FHL, Jones AS, Tovey ER, Stone G. 1999. Differentiation of allergenic fungal spores by image analysis, with application to aerobiological counts. Aerobiologia 15:211–223.
375	
376 377 378	Boucher A, Hidalgo PJ, Thonnat M, Belmonte J, Galan C, Bonton P, Tomczak R. 2002. Development of a semi-automatic system for pollen recognition. Aerobiologia 18:195–201.
379 380	Bremer K. 1994. Asteraceae: cladistics and classification. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon, 752 p.
381 382	Chang C-C, Lin C-J. 2001. LIBSVM - A Library for Support Vector Machines. URL
383 384	http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/.
385 386 387	Clark WD, Brown GK, Mayes RA. 1980. Pollen morphology of <i>Haplopappus</i> and related Genera (Compositae–Astereae). American Journal of Botany 671:1391–1393.
388 389 390	Danin A. 1975. <i>Onopordum</i> L. In: Davis PH (ed.), Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Volume 5:356–369, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.
391 392	Davis PH (ed.). 1975. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Volume 5. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 890 p. 14

393	
394 395	Davis PH, Mill RR, Tan K (eds.). 1988. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Volume10, Supplement 1. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 590 p.
396	
397 398	de Sá-Otero MP, González AP, Rodríguez-Damián M, Cernadas E. 2004. Computer-aided identification of allergenic species of Urticaceae pollen. Grana 43:224–230.
399	
400 401	Edlund, AF, Swanson, R, Preuss, D. 2004. Pollen and stigma structure and function: the role of diversity in pollination. The Plant Cell 16:S84–S97.
402	
403 404 405	Eibe F, Witten IH. 1998. Generating accurate rule sets without global optimization. In: Shavlik JW (ed.). ICML '98, Proceedings of the Fifteenth International Conference on Machine Learning, 144–151. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Incorporated, San Francisco.
406	
407 408	Faegri K, Kaland PE, Krzywinski K. 1989. Textbook of pollen analysis. Fourth edition. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 328 p.
409	
410 411	France I, Duller AWG, Duller GAT, Lamb HF. 2000. A new approach to automated pollen analysis. Quaternary Science Reviews 19:537–546.
412	
413 414 415	Funk VA, Bayer RJ, Keeley S, Chan R, Watson L, Gemeinholzer B, Schilling EE, Panero JL Baldwin BG, García Jacas NT, Susanna A, Jansen RK. 2005. Everywhere but Antarctica: using a supertree to understand the diversity and distribution of the Compositae. Biologiske
416 417	Skrifter 55:343–373.
418	Güner A, Özhatay N, Ekim T, Başer KHC (eds.). 2000. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean
419	Islands, Volume 11, Supplement 2. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 680 p.

420	
421 422 423	Holt K, Allen G, Hodgson R, Marsland S, Flenley J. 2011. Progress towards an automated trainable pollen location and classifier system for use in the palynology laboratory. Review of Palynology and Palaeobotany 167:175–183.
424	
425 426	Huang G-B, Siew C-K. 2005. Extreme learning machine with randomly assigned RBF kernels. International Journal of Information Technology 11:16–24.
427	
428 429 430	Huang G-B, Chen L, Siew C-K. 2006a. Universal approximation using incremental constructive feedforward networks with random hidden nodes. IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) Transactions on Neural Networks 17:879–892.
431432433	Huang G-B, Zhu Q-Y, Siew C-K. 2006b. Extreme learning machine: theory and applications. Neurocomputing 70:489–501.
434	
435 436	Jones AS. 2000. Image analysis applied for aerobiology. Second European Symposium on Aerobiology, Vienna, Austria, p. 2 (abstract).
437	
438 439	Kaya Y, Pınar SM, Erez ME, Fidan M. 2013. An expert classification system of pollen of <i>Onopordum</i> using a rough set approach. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 189:50–56.
440	
441 442	Kubitzki K (ed.). 2007. The families and genera of vascular plants. Volume 9. Flowering plants. Eudicots. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 509 p.
443	
444 445	Langford M, Taylor GE, Flenley JR. 1990. Computerised identification of pollen grains by texture. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 64:197–203.
116	

147 148	Li M-B, Huang G-B, Saratchandran P, Sundararajan N. 2005. Fully complex extreme learning machine. Neurocomputing 68:306–314.
149	
450	Li P, Flenley JR. 1999. Pollen texture identification using neural networks Grana 38:59–64.
451	
152	Liang N-Y, Saratchandran P, Huang G-B, Sundararajan N. 2006. Classification of mental
453	tasks from EEG signals using extreme learning machine. International Journal of Neural
154	Systems 16:29–38.
455	
456	Moore PD, Webb JA, Collinson ME. 1991. Pollen Analysis. Second Edition. Blackwell
457	Scientific Publications, Oxford, 216 p.
458	
159	Özhatay N, Kültür Ş, Aksoy N. 1994. Check-list of additional taxa to the supplement Flora of
460	Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany 18:497–514.
161	
462	Özhatay N, Kültür Ş, Aslan S. 2009. Check-list of additional taxa to the supplement Flora of
163	Turkey IV. Turkish Journal of Botany 33:191–226.
164	
165	Panero JL, Funk VA. 2008. The value of sampling anomalous taxa in phylogenetic studies:
466	major clades of the Asteraceae revealed. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 47:757–782.
167	
168	Punt W, Hoen PP, Blackmore S, Nilsson S, Le Thomas A. 2007. Glossary of pollen and spore
169	terminology. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 143:1-81.
170	
471	Quinlan JR. 1993. C4.5: Programs for Machine Learning. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers
172	Incorporated, San Francisco, 302 p.
470	

Ranzato M, Taylor PE, House JM, Flagan RC, LeCun Y., Perona P. 2007. Automatic 474 recognition of biological particles in microscopic images. Pattern Recognition Letters, 28:31– 475 39. 476 477 Rodriguez-Damian M, Cernadas E, Formella A, Fernandez-Delgado M, de Sá-Otero MP. 478 2006. Automatic detection and classification of grains of pollen based on shape and texture. 479 IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Part C: Applications and Reviews 480 36:531-542. 481 482 Rong H-J, Ong Y-S, Tan A-H, Zhu Z. 2008. A fast pruned-extreme learning machine for 483 classification problem. Neurocomputing 72:359–366. 484 485 Ronneberger O. 2000. Automated pollen recognition using gray scale invariants on 3D 486 487 volume image data. Second European Symposium on Aerobiology, Vienna, Austria, p. 3 (abstract). 488 489 Suresh S, Saraswathi S, Sundararajan N. 2010. Performance enhancement of extreme learning 490 machine for multi-category sparse data classification problems. Engineering Applications of 491 492 Artificial Intelligence 23:1149–1157. 493 Ticay-Rivas JM, del Pozo-Baños M, Travieso CM, Arroyo-Hernández J, Pérez ST, Alonso 494 JB, Mora-Mora F. 2011. Pollen classification based on geometrical, descriptors and colour 495 features using decorrelation stretching method. In: Iliadis, LS, Maglogiannis, I, Papadopoulos 496 H. (eds.), IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology 364:342–349. 497 498 499 Vezey EL, Skvarla, JJ. 1990. Computerized feature analysis of exine sculpture patterns. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 64:187–196. 500 501

Wagenitz G. 1976. Systematics and phylogeny of the Compositae (Asteraceae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 125:29–46.

Wodehouse RP. 1959. Pollen grains: their structure, identification, and significance in science and medicine. Hafner Publishing Company, New York, 574 p.

Yuan Q, Weidong Z, Shufang L, Dongmei C. 2011. Epileptic EEG classification based on Extreme learning machine and nonlinear features. Epilepsy Research 96:29–38.

Zhang Y, Fountain DW, Hodgson RM, Flenley JR, Gunetileke S. 2004. Towards automation of palynology 3: pollen pattern recognition using Gabor transforms and digital moments.

Journal of Quaternary Science 19:763–768.

Morphological feature/parameter	Definition
P	The length of the polar axis
E	The length of the equatorial axis
P/E	The P/E ratio
Colpus (L)	The length of the colpus
Colpus (W)	The width of the colpus
Exine	The thickness of the exine
Intine	The thickness of the intine
Nexine	The thickness of the nexine
Tectine	The thickness of the tectine
Echinae	The length of the echinae
Columellae	The length of the columellae

Table 1. The 11 training parameters (morphological features) used with the extreme learning machine (ELM) network in this study.

Name of automatic system	50-50%	70–30%	80–20%
	training-test (%)	training-test (%)	training-test (%)
Artificial Neural Network	80.00	80.66	84.44
Extreme Learning Machine	84.67	91.11	95.00
J48 Decision Tree	72.00	81.11	85.00
Logistic Regression	68.88	76.00	76.66
PART	75.33	75.55	83.33
Support Vector Machine	78.66	86.66	88.33

Table 2. The performance values of automatic pollen identifications using six different automatic systems. The extreme learning machine (ELM) results are in bold font.

Display material captions:

Figure 1. The structure of a single-hidden layer feed-forward (SLFN) artificial neural network.

Figure 2. A block diagram illustrating the method for pollen identification used herein.

Figure 3. Training and test efficiencies for the 50–50% training-test partition.

Figure 4. Training and test efficiencies for the 70–30% training-test partition.

Figure 5. Training and test efficiencies for the 80–20% training-test partition.

Plate 1. Two images of *Onopordum* pollen illustrating the various morphological measurements made in this study. 1 – grain in polar view. 2 – grain in equatorial/lateral view.