

Initial testing and a laboratory manual for the Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system

Laboratory Operations Programme Internal Report IR/08/086



BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

LABORATORY OPERATIONS PROGRAMME INTERNAL REPORT IR/08/086

Initial testing and a laboratory manual for the Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system

S J Kemp, G Turner and D Wagner

The National Grid and other Ordnance Survey data are used with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Licence No: 100017897/ 2009.

Keywords

Surface area, nitrogen/BET, micropore, clays, black carbon.

Front cover Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system

Bibliographical reference

KEMP, S.J., TURNER, G. AND WAGNER, D. 2009. Initial testing and a laboratory manual for the Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system . *British Geological Survey Internal Report*, IR/08/086. 41pp.

Copyright in materials derived from the British Geological Survey's work is owned by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) and/or the authority that commissioned the work. You may not copy or adapt this publication without first obtaining permission. Contact the BGS Intellectual Property Rights Section, British Geological Survey, Keyworth, e-mail ipr@bgs.ac.uk. You may quote extracts of a reasonable length without prior permission, provided a full acknowledgement is given of the source of the extract.

Maps and diagrams in this book use topography based on Ordnance Survey mapping.

BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

The full range of our publications is available from BGS shops at Nottingham, Edinburgh, London and Cardiff (Welsh publications only) see contact details below or shop online at www.geologyshop.com

The London Information Office also maintains a reference collection of BGS publications, including maps, for consultation.

We publish an annual catalogue of our maps and other publications; this catalogue is available online or from any of the BGS shops.

The British Geological Survey carries out the geological survey of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (the latter as an agency service for the government of Northern Ireland), and of the surrounding continental shelf, as well as basic research projects. It also undertakes programmes of technical aid in geology in developing countries.

The British Geological Survey is a component body of the Natural Environment Research Council.

British Geological Survey offices

BGS Central Enquiries Desk

Tel	0115 936 3143
email	enquiries@bgs.ac.uk

email sales@bgs.ac.uk

Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GGTel0115 936 3241Fax0115 936 3488

Fax 0115 936 3276

Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3LA

Tel 0131 667 1000 Fax 0131 668 2683 email scotsales@bgs.ac.uk

Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD

 Tel
 020 7589 4090
 Fax
 020 7584 8270

 Tel
 020 7942 5344/45
 email
 bgslondon@bgs.ac.uk

Columbus House, Greenmeadow Springs, Tongwynlais, Cardiff CF15 7NE

Tel	029 2052 1962	Fax 029 2052 1963

Forde House, Park Five Business Centre, Harrier Way, Sowton EX2 7HU

Tel 01392 445271 Fax 01392 445371

Maclean Building, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford OX10 8BB

Tel 01491 838800 Fax 01491 692345

Geological Survey of Northern Ireland, Colby House, Stranmillis Court, Belfast BT9 5BF

Tel 028 9038 8462 Fax 028 9038 8461

www.bgs.ac.uk/gsni/

Parent Body

Natural Environment Research Council, Polaris House, North Star Avenue, Swindon SN2 1EU

Tel	01793 411500	Fax	01793 411501
www	.nerc.ac.uk		

Website www.bgs.ac.uk Shop online at www.geologyshop.com

Foreword

This report is the published product of a study by the British Geological Survey (BGS) and was produced under the Laboratory Operations Programme's Maintenance and Development of Capability (MaDCap) project.

This report aims to provide a procedural manual for the newly acquired physisorption system and details of initial testing on a range of Earth Science materials including soils, mudstones and starting materials from hydrothermal experiments.

Contents

Fo	rewor	ď		i
Co	ntent	s		ii
Su	mmaı	y		iv
1	Intr	oducti	on	1
2	Bac	kgrou	nd	2
	2.1	Gem	ini VI 2385C System	2
	2.2	VacI	Prep Degasser	4
3	Met	hods a	nd materials	5
4	Res	ults		7
	4.1	Soil	samples	7
	4.2	Hydı	othermal laboratory experimental materials	8
	4.3	Mud	stone core samples	10
5	Con	clusio	ns	12
6	Rec	omme	ndations	12
Re	feren	ces		13
Ap	pendi	ix 1	BGS methodology	14
Ap	pendi	ix 2	BET sample sheet with example data	21
Ap	pendi	ix 3	Example multi-point BET report (3 pages)	22
Ap	pendi	ix 4	Example full isotherm and pore volume distribution report (9 pages)	25

FIGURES

Figure 1.	Micromeritics Gemini VI series physisorption system (Micromeritics, 2008)	3
Figure 2.	Schematic for the Gemini VI (Micromeritics, 2008)	3
Figure 3.	Micromeritics VacPrep system (Micromeritics, 2008)	5
Figure 4.	Cross-plot of multi-point and single-point BET data for the hydrothermal laborato	ry
exper	imental samples	9

TABLES

Table 1. Sample details	5
Table 2. Summary of results for soil samples	7
Table 3. Summary of results for the hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples	8
Table 4. Comparison of multi-point and single-point BET data hydrothermal laboratoryexperimental samples	9
Table 5. Summary of results for the mudstone samples associated with containment projects (are 1-2 mm fractions except where indicated)	(all 10

Summary

This report describes initial testing of the newly acquired Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system as part of the Laboratory Operations Programme's Maintenance and Development of Capability (MaDCap) project.

The report firstly introduces the technique of surface area analysis and BGS's capabilities and then proceeds to present a user manual and suggested working methodologies for the new system.

The report also presents data produced from a range of sample types including soils, mudstones and experimental starting materials to demonstrate the capabilities of the system to various BGS project leaders. Reliable data were generated in all cases, although very low surface areas close to the system's lowest detection limit (c.0.05 m²/g) appear to be slightly less reliable.

Multi-point BET analyses provide more accurate but slightly larger surface areas than those derived from single-point measurements for the same sample.

On the basis of the samples tested here, sample heterogeneity would not appear to be a significant problem for 'routine' samples. However, analysis of ground material from the same samples revealed a wider spread of results. Sample heterogeneity may also be a greater problem when analysing very low surface area materials.

For surface areas in the range 0.1-60 m²/g, errors appear to be better than $\pm 2\%$ (of the value) which concurs with data for the supplied carbon black Surface Area Reference Material. For lower surface areas (<0.1 m²/g) these errors can increase to $\pm 6\%$.

Analysis of soils suggests that the technique may be useful in characterising black carbon contents. Interestingly, the surface area for the ground materials appears lower than that produced for the corresponding <2 mm size fraction. This is the reverse of the expected result and requires further investigation.

For mudstone samples, surface areas appear to correspond to the total concentration of clay minerals present with some influence from the presence of smectite. Surprisingly, the lowest surface area in this suite of mudstone samples was produced by the Bentonite (?Sweden) sample. This may relate to the degassing regime employed.

Users are advised to follow the protocols outlined in this report and the analysis programs setup. It is also advised that filler rods are used to reduce free-space and error for low surface area materials ($<50 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$).

Further work, beyond the scope of this initial study, is required to identify the effects of different degassing routines and the differing surface areas obtained when analysing ground and crushed samples. Additional work is also necessary to more fully explore the pore size distribution functions of the physisorption system.

1 Introduction

Surface area and porosity are two critical physical properties that determine the nature and reactivity of materials. In the Earth Sciences, surface area and porosity are important parameters when considering the characteristics of rocks and soils particularly with regard to their engineering properties, industrial mineral use, water/hydrocarbon potential, gas storage and possible effects on soil quality and human health.

Two different procedures are employed to determine surface area in Earth Science materials which utilize the adsorption of either gases or polar liquids.

The most common method is to derive the amount of adsorbed nitrogen (or other inert gas) on a solid surface at monolayer coverage from either a single-point analysis or a multipoint plot of adsorption isotherm data using the nitrogen/BET method, named after its inventors <u>B</u>runauer, <u>E</u>mmett and <u>T</u>eller (Brunauer *et al.*, 1938). From a knowledge of the projected cross-sectional area per molecule in the monolayer and the quantity of gas adsorbed, the surface area of the material may be calculated. The method is described by the equation:

$$\frac{\left(P/P\phi\right)}{V\left[1-P/P\phi\right]} = \frac{1}{\left(VmC\right)} + \frac{\left[\frac{\left(C-1\right)}{\left(VmC\right)}\right]}{P/P\phi}$$

where V is the volume (at standard temperature and pressure, STP) of gas adsorbed at pressure P, P_{ϕ} is the saturation pressure, which is the vapour pressure of liquefied gas at the adsorbing temperature, V_m is the volume of gas (STP) required to form an adsorbed monomolecular layer, and C is a constant related to the energy of adsorption.

The surface area S of the sample giving the monolayer adsorbed gas volume Vm (STP) is then calculated from:

$$S = \frac{VmAN}{M}$$

where A is Avogadro's number, which expresses the number of gas molecules in a mole of gas at standard conditions, M is the molar volume of the gas, and N is the area of each adsorbed gas molecule.

By extending the process of gas adsorption so that gas is allowed to condense in material pore spaces, the fine pore structure of a material may be evaluated. As pressure is increased, the gas condenses in pores of increasing dimensions until saturation is reached when all pores are filled with liquid. Incremental reduction in the pressure of the adsorbed gas then evaporates the condensed gas. By comparison of the adsorption and desorption isotherms and the hysteresis between them using a range of different models (e.g. BJH method; <u>Barrett</u>, Joyner and <u>Halenda</u>, 1951) reveals information about the material's pore size distribution, pore volume, pore area and pore shape.

However, since inert gases do not penetrate between layers of expanding clay minerals in general, only the external surface area is determined using the BET method. To measure the total (internal and external) surface area of clay-bearing samples, a different technique is employed requiring the adsorption of polar molecules such as, for example, 2-ethoxyethanol (ethylene glycol monoethyl ether, EGME, Carter *et al.*, 1965).

The BGS laboratories are equipped to carry out both the gas and polar molecule adsorption methodologies but the capability to perform gas adsorption analyses has been restricted by a relatively old system. This report presents the results of a small study carried out during 2008 under the Maintenance and Development of Capability (MaDCap) project which aimed to:

- familiarise BGS staff with a newly-purchased physisorption system
- produce a user manual
- advertise the abilities of the new system to BGS project leaders
- establish some degree of error for the technique
- study the possible effects of sample heterogeneity

2 Background

The BGS have provided nitrogen/BET surface area analyses since the mid-1980s when a Micromeritics Flowsorb II 2300 system was purchased by the Mineralogy & Petrology Group and installed at the Grays Inn Road office, London. The system was subsequently moved to the Keyworth site and ultimately located in P Block.

During this time the system has been sporadically but often intensively used to provide singlepoint BET surface area data to a variety of projects such as:

- Industrial mineral characterisation and beneficiation projects
- Characterisation of lithologies for radioactive waste research
- Characterisation of materials before and after hydrothermal laboratory experiments relating to radioactive waste and CO₂ capture and storage
- Characterisation of soils
- Direct consultancy service to industry and consultancies

Despite remaining functional, the Flowsorb II 2300 increasingly suffered from its inability to offer anything other than single-point analyses. In addition, its lack of computer control and manual operation resulted in relatively slow analyses and high unit costs. Also, despite regular servicing, it appeared that most recently, the results obtained for low surface area materials had became inconsistent and therefore suspect.

A capital bid was therefore successfully submitted for a replacement system in the 2007/08 Capital Bid Round, and a Micromeritics Gemini VI 2385C instrument was installed in March 2008. This system offered:

- Both single- and the more reliable, multi-point BET analyses
- Total pore volume determinations
- Pore volume distribution determinations
- Full computer control to enable data collection, presentation and interpretation of generated data
- A dedicated vacuum preparation system

2.1 GEMINI VI 2385C SYSTEM

The Gemini series of surface area analyzers use the Static Volumetric Technique and a twin-tube design to generate high-speed surface area and porosity data (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Micromeritics Gemini VI series physisorption system (Micromeritics, 2008)

The Gemini uses an adaptive rate, static volumetric technique of operation which adapts the required rate at which gas is supplied for equilibration. As shown in Figure 2, the instrument has two gas reservoirs (A) which are filled with equal volumes of the desired adsorptive, usually nitrogen. Gas is dosed into the sample from the reservoirs, and balance tubes and a transducer (B) on the sample side monitors the target pressure.



Figure 2. Schematic for the Gemini VI (Micromeritics, 2008)

As the sample adsorbs gas, the pressure would tend to decrease in the sample tube were it not that transducer (B) causes a fast response servo valve (C) to hold the pressure constant. Transducer (D) located between the sample and balance tubes detects any pressure difference between the two tubes and causes another servo valve (E) to balance the pressures in both tubes. A third pressure transducer (F) monitors the pressure between the two reservoirs to determine the amount of gas that is adsorbed on the sample. This method of dosing and accounting for the volume of gas uptake enables the Gemini to produce highly accurate, highly reproducible results in the minimum time.

The sample and balance tubes are identical in every way. Conditions within one tube exactly reproduce the conditions within the other, the only difference being associated with the presence of the sample in the sample tube. Free-space errors introduced by thermal gradient variations are cancelled because the balance tube essentially has the same free space variation as the sample tube and no pressure differential is produced. Since free-space error is the limiting factor in measuring low surfaces with nitrogen, common mode rejection of free-space variation in the Gemini allows accurate measurements to be performed with nitrogen on low surface area materials. Other static volumetric techniques would usually require the use of krypton.

The sample uptake rate therefore controls the rate at which the gas is delivered through a servo valve, therefore the adsorptive is delivered as fast as the sample can adsorb it. In this manner of dosing, there is no under-dosing in which the sample waits for more adsorptive, nor over-dosing in which case the target pressure is exceeded. The result is a surface area analyzer that is as fast as the physics of adsorption allows and yet retains accuracy and reproducibility.

The Windows-based software allows the Gemini to be controlled from a PC, thus providing more versatility in data archiving, networking, and printer options. In addition, the software extends the choices of data reduction methods in each Gemini model to include:

- Single- and Multipoint BET surface area
- Langmuir surface area
- Pore volume and pore area distributions in the mesopore and macropore ranges by the BJH (Barrett, Joyner, and Halenda) method using a variety of thickness equations including a user-defined, standard isotherm
- Pore volume (distribution and total pore volume) in a user-defined pore size range
- Micropore distribution by the MP-method and total micropore volume by the t-Plot and as Plot methods
- Halsey, Harkins-Jura, Carbon Black STSA, Broekhoff-de Boer and user-entered thickness curves

The larger cabinet design of the Gemini VI 2385C model allows extended analysis time, greater stability and improved repeatability due to its longer sample tubes and a larger dewar. It also allows continuous monitoring of the saturation vapour pressure ($P\phi$) of the adsorptive using a dedicated $P\phi$ tube and transducer. This feature allows the instrument software to accommodate, at each data point, any minute change in the saturation vapour pressure that may occur during the course of the analysis, resulting in a more meticulously determined relative pressure.

2.2 VACPREP DEGASSER

The VacPrep Degasser prepares samples for surface area and pore structure analysis using both the flowing gas method and a vacuum mode which prepares samples by heating and evacuation. The VacPrep removes contaminants such as water vapour and adsorbed gases from samples to avoid interference with surface area measurements. It features six degassing stations and a choice of vacuum or gas flow preparation on each of the six stations and minimises the chance of sample contamination during transfer from the degas-to-analysis. The VacPrep allows the operator to select the temperature and preparation technique best suited to the sample type and application.



Figure 3. Micromeritics VacPrep system (Micromeritics, 2008)

3 Methods and materials

Samples for analysis were submitted by BGS colleagues; Drs. Barry Rawlins (Soils Team), Chris Rochelle (Energy Theme) and Jon Harrington (Radwaste Team). Sample details are shown in Table 1.

MPL no	Incoming sample name	Sample type	Submitted by	
MPLN405	610155 <2 mm	Black carbon-		
MPLN406	611353 <2 mm	bearing soil		
MPLN407	611495 <2 mm	samples.	Barry Pauline	
MPLN408	610155 ground	Crushed and	Daily Rawins	
MPLN409	611353 ground	ground		
MPLN410	611495 ground	subsamples.		
MPLB464	Quartz 125-250 µm	Starting		
MPLB465	Albite 125-250 µm	materials for		
MPLG922	Chemgrade quartz (Fluka) 150 -400 µm	hydrothermal	Chris Rochelle	
MPLL081	Casablanca cap rock 250-500 µm	laboratory		
MPLL082	Casablanca reservoir rock 250-500 µm	experiments		
MPLN411	Bentonite (?Sweden)			
MPLN412	Lias Clay Liner			
MPLN413	Nordland Shale 15/9-A-11 (NS-S2) 907.4-907.49 Tin 5			
MPLN414	Ball Clay	Mudstone core		
MPLN415	German Blue Clay	samples		
MPLN416	Callovo-Oxfordian Paris Basin EST 27350 (ANDRA)	associated with	Jon Harrington	
MPLN417	Opalinus Clay off-cut OPA-2 Bewken Borehole	containment		
MPLN418	London Clay (Landfill Liner) off-cuts Tin X19 13/5/97	projects		
MPLN419	Boom Clay offcuts T4S2			
MPLN420	Opalinus Clay Mont Terri			
MPLN421	Gault Clay			

Table 1. Sample details

The samples from Drs. Barry Rawlins and Chris Rochelle (ground or granular materials) were analysed as received but the core samples received from Dr. Jon Harrington were first dried at 55°C overnight and then stage crushed to produce a 1-2 mm fraction for analysis.

As well as learning how to use the instrument for Earth Science samples, the range of sample types submitted allowed the project to explore several lines of investigation:

- To establish analytical reproducibility, the same subsample from one of the mudstone samples was analysed three times.
- The soil samples were analysed both as <2 mm size fractions and ground powders to establish whether sample particle-size had any noticeable effect on surface area. Similarly the bentonite sample was analysed as a 1-2 mm fraction and a <125 μm powder.
- To establish whether sample heterogeneity produced any substantial errors, separate subsamples were run for one of the soils (both <2 mm and ground materials) and one of the quartz samples.

All samples were prepared using a Micromeritics Gemini VacPrep Degasser and analyses were carried out on the Micromeritics Gemini VI 2385C system. All the samples were run on a 5 pressure point program to determine BET surface area. One sample, was also run on an extended 30-pressure point program to additionally determine pore volume distribution.

4 Results

A methodology for analysis of Earth Science materials was devised and implemented for all the samples in this study and is shown in Appendix 1. A sample preparation sheet with example data is shown in Appendix 2.

Correlation co-efficients for BET analyses provide an indication of the quality and reliability of the data produced. The majority of the samples analysed here produced co-efficients of better than 0.999 suggesting high quality analyses. However, the two quartz samples (MPLB464 and MPLG922) characterised by very low surface areas (c.0.07 m²/g) produced lower coefficients of 0.99 suggesting poorer quality analyses.

Reporting of results from the Gemini software can be tailored to the needs of the particular analysis. Example output for a typical multi-point BET analysis is shown in Appendix 3 and that from a full adsorption isotherm and pore volume distribution in Appendix 4.

4.1 SOIL SAMPLES

The results of surface area analyses on the soils samples submitted by Dr Barry Rawlins are summarised in Table 2.

Incoming	BET surface area (m2/g)												
sample name	Rui	n 1	Run 2		Run 3		Mean		Std Dev				
F-0		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-			
	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error			
610155 <2 mm	16.2691	0.28	16.5814	0.27	16.1768	0.25	16.3424	0.2667	0.2120	0.0153			
611353 <2 mm	5.8671	0.08					5.8671	0.0800					
611495 <2 mm	6.7906	0.08					6.7906	0.0800					
610155 ground	10.0930	0.06	13.8094	0.16	13.5109	0.15	12.4711	0.1233	2.0649	0.0551			
611353 ground	5.5448	0.01					5.5448	0.0050					
611495 ground	6.0386	0.03					6.0386	0.0300					

Table 2. Summary of results for soil samples

Mean surface areas for the soil samples range from c.5.86-16.34 m²/g (<2 mm fraction) and c.5.54-12.47 m²/g (ground material). Soil 610155 shows the greatest surface area for both sample types and soil 611495 shows the lowest surface areas. Interestingly, in each case the surface area for the ground material is lower than that produced for the corresponding <2 mm size fraction. This is the reverse of the expected result whereby a greater surface area would be expected to be exposed by grinding material to a powder.

Sample heterogeneity would not appear to be a significant problem for the <2 mm material as the standard deviation value represents only a 1.3% differential, but as a result of the low surface area measured in Run 1 for the ground material, there appears to be a greater problem with these samples (16.6% differential). This is again the reverse of the expected result whereby it would be expected that a more homogeneous sample would be created by grinding to a powder.

The high surface area sample (610155) corresponds to the sample containing the highest levels of black carbon (6.44%, B. Rawlins pers. comm.) but the impact of black carbon is more difficult to discern in the remaining samples (611353, 2.09% and 611495, 0.5%). At these lower levels, the presence of small quantities of black carbon appear to be obscured by the presence of other

phases such as clay minerals. Further samples will be run to explore the link between surface area and black carbon content in soils.

4.2 HYDROTHERMAL LABORATORY EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS

The results of surface area analyses on the hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples submitted by Dr Chris Rochelle are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3.	Summary	of results	for the	hydrothermal	laboratory (experimental	samples
	•			•	•/		

Incoming sample	BET SA (m ² /g)										
name	Ru	i n 1	Ru	Run 2		Run 3		Mean		Std Dev	
		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-	
	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error	
Quartz 125-250 µm	0.0710	0.0022					0.0710	0.0022			
Albite 125-250 µm	0.6386	0.0112					0.6386	0.0112			
Chemgrade quartz (Fluka) 150-400 µm	0.0427	0.0042	0.0928	0.0028	0.0704	0.0050	0.0686	0.0040	0.0251	0.0011	
Chemgrade quartz (Fluka) 150-400 µm (Using filler tubes)	0.0603	0.0041	0.0493	0.0033	0.0527	0.0031	0.0541	0.0035	0.0056	0.0005	
Casablanca cap rock 250-500 µm	2.1448	0.0234					2.1448	0.0234			
Casablanca reservoir rock 250-500 µm	0.8437	0.0080					0.8437	0.0080			

In comparison to the soil samples, the hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples produced low or very low surface areas ranging from 0.07-2.14 m^2/g .

The two quartz samples produced particularly low surface areas (c.0.07 m^2/g) and correlation coefficients of only 0.99 suggesting relatively poor-quality data compared to the remaining dataset. Such surface areas would appear to be close to the lower limit of accurate surface area detection using the Gemini VI.

The albite and Casablanca reservoir rock show also show low surface areas (c.0.64 and $0.84 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ respectively) but these are an order of magnitude higher than the quartz samples. Previous X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses of the Casablanca reservoir rock (Rochelle *et al.*, 2007) suggest that it is predominantly composed of calcite (95.9%) with traces of dolomite (3%) and quartz (1.1%). Such a mineralogy would be expected to produce a low surface area.

The Casablanca cap rock produced the highest surface area for the hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples, although at 2.14 m²/g, this would still be described as a low value. The higher value compared to the reservoir rock is almost certainly due to the presence of phyllosilicates and clay minerals in the cap rock. Previous XRD analyses (Rochelle *et al.*, 2007) confirm that although the cap rock is also carbonate-dominated (calcite 55.9%, dolomite 5.8%, ankerite 1.6%) with minor quartz (14.3%), pyrite (3.8%) and albite (0.8%), it also contains undifferentiated mica including illite/smectite (15.4%) and chlorite (2.4%) species.

Three repeat runs for separate sub samples of the very low surface area Chemgrade quartz sample produced a mean surface area of $0.069 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ and standard deviation of 0.025. This relatively large standard deviation value represents a large 36.6% differential and may result from the free space errors or indicate that sample heterogeneity presents a significant problem in low surface area materials.

In order to improve the quality of analysis of low surface area materials, Micromeritics suggest (but did not originally supply BGS) the use of filler tubes. Solid glass filler tubes, with a fine capillary down their lengths, are fitted to both the reference and sample tubes to exclude as much free space as possible and therefore ensure optimum precision and accuracy. Having obtained the filler tubes at a later date, only limited testing was carried out using the filler tubes. Three separate subsamples from the Chemgrade quartz sample were re-analysed and results show similar correlation coefficients of c.0.99, a mean surface area of 0.054 m²/g but a smaller standard deviation of 0.005 suggesting more precise data.

Comparison of the multi-point surface area data produced by the Gemini with previously obtained values from single-point analyses performed on the Flowsorb II indicate a strongly positive correlation (Table 4 and Figure 4). The albite sample lies farthest from the overall trend. It is noticeable that for all the samples, with the exception of the Quartz 125-250 μ m sample, the multi-point data produces a larger surface area than the previous single-point data. This concurs with standard data such as that supplied with Surface Area Reference Materials, e.g. carbon black standard multi-point surface area 30.6 ± 0.75 m²/g, single-point surface area 29.9 ± 0.75 m²/g).

 Table 4. Comparison of multi-point and single-point BET data hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples

	BET SA (m2/g)						
	Gemini VI multi-point (this investigation)	Flowsorb II single-point (previous investigations)					
Quartz 125-250 µm	0.0710	0.0824					
Albite 125-250 µm	0.6386	0.1674					
Chemgrade quartz (Fluka) 150-400 µm	0.0686	0.06					
Casablanca cap rock 250-500 µm	2.1448	1.93					
Casablanca reservoir rock 250-500 µm	0.8437	0.57					



Figure 4. Cross-plot of multi-point and single-point BET data for the hydrothermal laboratory experimental samples

4.3 MUDSTONE CORE SAMPLES

The results of surface area analyses on the mudstone core samples submitted by Dr Jon Harrington are summarised in Table 5.

Incoming sample	BET SA (m2/g)										
name	Ru	n 1	Ru	n 2	Run 3		Mean		Std Dev		
		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-		+/-	
	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error	value	error	
Bentonite	20.4925	0.3027					20.4925	0.3027			
Bentonite <125 µm	17.6855	0.0813					17.6855	0.0813			
Lias Clay Liner	24.0139	0.2240					24.0139	0.2240			
Nordland Shale	26.7313	0.1566					26.7313	0.1566			
Ball Clay	34.2023	0.0895					34.2023	0.0895			
German Blue Clay	53.8411	0.4279					53.8411	0.4279			
Callovo-Oxfordian	28.1373	0.4982	28.054	0.4882	27.8875	0.4823	28.0264	0.4896	0.1272	0.0080	
Opalinus Clay	21.7706	0.1184					21.7706	0.1184			
London Clay	58.5419	0.7338					58.5419	0.7338			
Boom Clay	26.9840	0.1212					26.9840	0.1212			
Opalinus Clay	30.9592	0.2548					30.9592	0.2548			
Gault Clay	54.0795	1.0344					54.0795	1.0344			

Table 5.	Summary of results for the mudstone samples associated with containment
projects	(all are 1-2 mm fractions except where indicated)

The surface areas obtained for the mudstone core samples (1-2 mm fractions) were the highest for the samples analysed in this project and ranged from c.20.49 (Bentonite) to 58.54 m^2/g (London Clay). However, as mineralogical data were not available for the same samples, discussion of the surface area data is limited to general statements.

In general terms, the surface areas appear to correspond to the total concentration of clay minerals present with some influence from the presence of smectite. The higher surface areas therefore appear to correspond to the more clay-rich samples where smectite forms a significant proportion of the clay mineral assemblage and the lower surface areas are produced by samples with lower clay contents where smectite is largely absent.

The London Clay and Gault Clay samples present the highest BET surface areas for the sample batch at c.58.54 and 54.08 m²/g respectively. Typically the London Clay shows high clay (20-81%, mean 57%; Kemp & Wagner, 2006) and smectite contents (5-30%, mean 17%; Kemp & Wagner, 2006) with moderate 2-ethoxyethanol total surface areas (74 – 260 m²/g, mean 176 m²/g; Kemp & Wagner, 2008). Similarly, the Gault Clay is characterised by high clay contents (up to 65%, Forster *et al.*, 1994) and highly-smectitic clay mineral assemblages (Jeans, 2006).

The German Blue Clay (c.53.84 m²/g) and the Ball Clay (c.34.20 m²/g) samples also present relatively high BET surface areas. These clays are most likely to be predominantly composed of disordered kaolinite with minor smectite (e.g. Konta, 1963). Typical ball clays contain >70% <2 μ m material.

The majority of the mudstone samples (Boom Clay, Opalinus Clay, Callovo-Oxfordian Clay, Nordland Shale, Lias Clay) produce moderate BET surface areas in the range 20-30 m²/g. Literature sources suggest that these lithologies are typically composed of c.50% clay minerals and usually illite- or illite/smectite-dominated clay mineral assemblages (e.g. Wenk *et al.*, 2008;

Kemp *et al.*, 2001; 2002; 2005). The Boom Clay differs in possessing a smectite-dominated clay mineral assemblage (Decleer *et al.*, 1983).

Surprisingly, the lowest surface area in this suite of samples was produced by the Bentonite (?Sweden) sample at c.20.49 m2/g. However, the BET surface area for standard bentonite (CMS source clay SWy-2) can produce relatively low 22.7m2/g (Umran Dogan *et al.*, 2006). As commercial bentonites are usually composed of high proportions of smectite-group minerals (rarely less than 60% and usually more than 70%), such low BET surface areas are puzzling. A possible cause of the low surface area may be the inadequate degassing of the sample prior to analysis. Further work is necessary to explore the effects of degassing procedures on 'difficult' samples such as bentonites.

Analytical reproducibility was examined by analysing the same Callovo-Oxfordian Clay subsample, three times. The three values (28.1373, 28.054 and 27.8875 m²/g) indicate a mean surface area of 28.0264 m²/g with a standard deviation of 0.4896. These values produce a coefficient of variance (standard deviation/mean) of 1.75%, suggesting good analytical reproducibility.

5 Conclusions

- The Micromeritics Gemini VI physisorption system has been successfully installed and a BGS user manual has been written and working methodologies implemented.
- A range of sample types have been analysed for various BGS project leaders, with reliable data being generated in all cases. Very low surface areas ($<0.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) appear to be slightly less reliable, although these results may be improved by employing filler rods.
- Results indicate the lowest detectable surface area is of the order of $c.0.05 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$.
- Multi-point BET provide more accurate surface areas than those derived from single-point measurements. Multi-point surface areas appear to be slightly larger than those derived from single-point values for the same sample.
- On the basis of the samples tested here, sample heterogeneity would not appear to be a significant problem for 'routine' samples. However, analysis of ground material from the same samples revealed a wider spread of results. Sample heterogeneity may also be a greater problem when analysing very low surface area materials, although this may be accounted for by free space errors.
- For surface areas in the range 0.1-60 m²/g, errors appear to be better than $\pm 2\%$ (of the value) which concurs with data for the supplied carbon black Surface Area Reference Material. For lower surface areas (<0.1 m²/g) these errors can increase to $\pm 6\%$. Surface areas are reproducible within the same degree of error.
- Analysis of soils suggests that the technique may be useful in characterising black carbon contents. Interestingly, the surface area for the ground materials appears lower than that produced for the corresponding <2 mm size fraction. This is the reverse of the expected result and requires further investigation.
- For mudstone samples, surface areas appear to correspond to the total concentration of clay minerals present with some influence from the presence of smectite. Surprisingly, the lowest surface area in this suite of mudstone samples was produced by the Bentonite (?Sweden) sample. This may relate to the degassing regime employed.

6 Recommendations

- Users are advised to follow the protocols outlined in this report and the analysis programs setup. This report should be quoted when reporting data produced by the physisorption system.
- For low surface area materials (<50 m²/g), it is recommended that filler rods are used to reduce free-space and error.
- Further work, beyond the scope of this initial study, is required to identify the effects of different degassing routines and the differing surface areas obtained when analysing ground and crushed samples. Additional work is also necessary to more fully explore the pore size distribution functions of the physisorption system.

References

British Geological Survey holds most of the references listed below, and copies may be obtained via the library service subject to copyright legislation (contact libuser@bgs.ac.uk for details). The library catalogue is available at: <u>http://geolib.bgs.ac.uk</u>.

BARRETT, E.P., JOYNER, L.G. AND HALENDA, P.P. 1951. The determination of pore volume and area distributions in porous substances. I. Computations from nitrogen isotherms, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 73, 373–380.

BRUNAUER, S, EMMET, P H AND TELLER, E. 1938. Adsorption of Gases in Multimolecular Layers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 60, 309-319.

CARTER, D.L., HEILMEN, M.D. AND GONZALEZ, F.L. 1965. Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether for determining surface area of silicate minerals. *Soil Science*, 100, 356-360.

DECLEER, J., VIAENE, W. and VANDENBERGHE, N. 1983. relationships between chemical, physical and mineralogical characteristics of the Rupelian Boom Clay, Belgium. *Clay Minerals*, 18, 1-10.

FORSTER, A., HOBBS, P.R.N., CRIPPS, A.C., ENTWISLE, D.C., FENWICK, S.M.M., RAINES, M.R., HALLAM, J.R., JONES, L.D., SELF, S.J. & MEAKIN, J.L. 1994. Engineering geology of British rocks and soils: Gault Clay. *British Geological Survey Technical Report*, WN/94/31. 60pp.

JEANS, C.V. 2006. Clay mineralogy of the Cretaceous strata of the British Isles. *Clay Minerals*, 41, 47-150.

KEMP, S.J. AND WAGNER, D. 2008. The mineralogy, geochemistry and surface area of mudrocks from the London Clay Formation of southern England. *British Geological Survey Internal Report*, IR/06/060. 81pp.

KEMP, S.J., TURNER, G. AND WAGNER, D. 2001. Mineralogical characterisation of the Nordland Shale, UK Quadrant 16, northern North Sea. *British Geological Survey Commissioned Report*, CR/01/136. 52pp.

KEMP, S J, PEARCE, J M and STEADMAN, E J. 2002. Mineralogical, geochemical and petrographical characterisation of Nordland Shale cores from well 15/9-A-11, Sleipner field, northern North Sea. *British Geological Survey Commissioned Report*, CR/02/313. 40pp.

KEMP, S.J., MERRIMAN, R.J. and BOUCH, J.E. 2005. Clay mineral reaction progress - The maturity and burial history of Lias Group of England and Wales. *Clay Minerals*, 40, 43-61.

KONTA, J. 1963. Quantitative mineralogical analysis of 'Blue clay' from Vonsov, Bohemia" a comparative study by nine laboratories. *Clay Minerals Bulletin*, 30(5), 255-264.

MICROMERITICS. 2008. Gemini VI Windows – Operator's manual v2.00.

ROCHELLE, C.A, TURNER, G., PEARCE, J.M., TAYLOR, H., KEMP, S.J., SHAW, R AND WILLIAMS, C. 2007. Geochemical interactions between CO_2 and host rocks at the Casablanca field: Results of fluid-rock interaction experiments. *British Geological Survey Internal Report*, CR/07/015. 53pp.

DOGAN, A.U., DOGAN, M., ONAL, M., SARIKAYA, Y., ABURUB, A. AND WURSTER, D.E. 2006. Baseline studies of The Clay Minerals Society source clays: SSA by the Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Method. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 54, 62-66.

WENK, H.R., VOLTOLINI, M., MAZUREK, M., VAN LOON, L.R. AND VINSOT, A. 2008. Preferred orientations and anisotropy in shales: Callovo-Oxfordian Shale (France) and Opalinus Clay (Switzerland). *Clay Minerals*, 56, 285-306.

Appendix 1 BGS methodology

OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE MICROMERITICS GEMINI VI SURFACE AREA AND PORE SIZE ANALYZER (ROOM P029)

14

IR/08/086; Version 2

1 SAMPLE PREPARATION (DAY BEFORE ANALYSIS)

- 1.1 Turn on VacPrep 061 on the rear panel.
- 1.2 Set temperature to desired value to most effectively remove moisture and adsorbed gases without changing the nature of the material (e.g. 300°C for the carbon black standard; 60°C for geological samples). The carbon black standard must be run before each batch of samples. Note that the heating element takes a long time to cool down!
- 1.3 Label the sample tubes and enter the sample number and description onto the BET sample sheet (shown in Appendix 3).
- 1.4 Using a 4 decimal place balance, weigh the sample into a balance boat, c.0.5 g for samples expected to have a high (>50 m²/g) surface area and c.1.0 g for samples expected to have a low (<50 m²/g) surface area. Set the sample aside.
- 1.5 Select a labelled sample tube. Fit the tube into the foam base and insert a rubber 'ear' into the tube. Using a 4 decimal place balance, weigh the tube, foam base and rubber 'ear' and note weight on the BET sample sheet (shown in Appendix 3).
- 1.6 Remove the tube, foam base and rubber 'ear' assembly from the balance. Remove the rubber 'ear' from the tube and attach the 'tube filler' funnel. Carefully pour the sample from balance boat into the tube.
- 1.7 Repeat steps 1.3 to 1.6 for up to 6 samples.
- 1.8 Attach each sample tube to the Vac Prep unit using the stainless steel connectors. First slacken the connector nut, then insert the sample tube securely and re-tighten the nut (finger-tight).
- 1.9 Turn on rotary pump at the wall socket.
- 1.10 Ensure all the needle valves at the 'T' pieces above the connectors are closed.
- 1.11 Turn the 'gas/off/vac' switch to the 'vac' position.
- 1.12 **<u>Slowly</u>** open each needle valve, ensuring that the sample is not drawn up the sample tube.

- 1.13 Place the sample tubes in their heating stations (at 60°C or desired temperature) and leave overnight.
- 1.14 Repeat steps 1.3 1.6 using between 0.5-0.6 g of carbon black standard. Place to one side for the next day.

IR/08/086; Version 2

2 PREPARATION FOR ANALYSIS (ANALYSIS DAY)

- 2.1 Wearing gloves, eye protection and a lab coat, obtain a dewar of liquid N₂ from the K-block supply using established procedures.
- 2.2 Switch on the Gemini VI Surface and Pore Size Analyzer at the rear of machine. The green light on the front of the cabinet should glow green.
- 2.3 Open the He and N_2 gas supplies by releasing the valve on the top of each cylinder. The regulators should be set to read between 1.1 1.2 bar (check!).
- 2.4 Wearing gloves, eye protection and a lab coat, fill the instrument dewar with liquid N_2 to a level 5 cm from the top and place the dewar on the instrument elevator.
- 2.5 Transfer all the sample tubes from the VacPrep heating stations to their cooling positions.
- 2.6 Increase the VacPrep temperature to 300°C, place the carbon black sample tube in a heating position and leave for 1 hour.
- 2.7 Ensure that an empty sample tube is placed in the instrument balance tube.
- 2.8 Wearing heat-resistant gloves, carefully remove the carbon black sample tube (**HOT!**) from its heating station, attach a rubber 'ear' to the tube and place the sealed tube in the cooling station for a few minutes.
- 2.9 Using a 4 decimal place balance, weigh the carbon standard, tube, 'ear' and foam base.Record the weight on the BET sample sheet.
- 2.10 Start up the instrument PC. Double-click on the desktop 'Gemini' icon.
- 2.11 Allow the software initialisation to complete [the Initialisation Window will close automatically].
- 2.12 Using the Gemini software, under the 'Unit1' dropdown menu, select 'Show Instrument Schematic'. A window showing an interactive schematic for the instrumental settings will appear.

3 ANALYSIS OF CARBON BLACK STANDARD

- 3.1 Using the Gemini software, under the 'File' dropdown menu, select 'Open' and then 'Sample Information'. Alternatively press 'F2' on the keyboard.
- 3.2 The 'Open Sample Information File' window will appear. Select the directory: C:\GEMINI\DATA\BGSDAT~1 and then Click the 'OK' button.
- 3.3 A further 'Open Sample Information File' window will appear indicating 'File C:\GEMINI\DATA\BGSDAT~1***.SMP does not exist. Do you wish to create it ?' Click the 'Yes' button.
- 3.4 A multi-tabbed window will appear. On the 'Sample Information' tab, click the 'Replace All' button.
- 3.5 The 'Open Sample Information File' window will appear. Navigate to the C:\GEMINI\DATA\METHODS directory and select the 004.SMP 'BET Surface Area Method'. Click the 'OK' button.
- 3.6 On the 'Sample Information' tab, in the MPL sample: box, overtype 'BET surface area method' with 'CARBON BLACK + date' and overtype the sample mass (shown as 500.0000 g) with the value produced on the Excel BET sample sheet.
- 3.7 Using the buttons at the base of the tab, click 'Save' and then click 'Close'.
- 3.8 Under the 'Unit1' dropdown menu, select 'Start Analysis'. A 'Start Analysis' window will appear. Navigate to the C:\GEMINI\DATA\BGSDAT~1 directory and select the entry for 'CARBON BLACK + date'. Click the 'OK' button.
- 3.9 Check the information in the new window is correct and click the 'Start' button at the bottom of the window. A new window will appear which asks you to remove the sample tube from its port.
- 3.10 On the instrument, ensure that the top of dewar is covered (e.g. with a blank CD) to prevent objects from falling into the liquid N_2 .
- 3.11 Disconnect the sample tube from the instrument by releasing the tube nut. Note the position of the ferrule and O-ring. Click the 'OK' button.
- 3.12 A new window will then appear requesting that you re-attach the sample tube.

- 3.13 Place the tube nut, ferrule and O-ring (in this order) onto the sample tube.
- 3.14 Insert the sample tube into the instrument housing and tighten the nut to finger tight plus a quarter-turn.
- 3.15 Check that the bases of the sample and reference tubes are level.
- 3.16 Place the dewar cover over the sample, reference and saturation tubes and push to the top.Close the protection doors.
- 3.17 Click the 'OK' button.
- 3.18 Following completion of the analysis, to view the results, under the 'Reports' dropdown menu, select 'Start Reports' and then select the appropriate file from the C:\GEMINI\DATA\BGSDAT~1 directory.
- 3.19 Check that the carbon black standard produces a BET surface area within specifications $(30.6 \pm 0.75 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})$. If the value obtained is outside these specifications, repeat the procedure with fresh carbon black sample.

4 ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

- 4.1 On the instrument, ensure that the top of dewar is covered (e.g. with a blank CD) to prevent objects from falling into the liquid N_2 .
- 4.2 Disconnect the sample tube containing the carbon black standard from the instrument by releasing the tube nut. Discard the carbon black standard material.
- 4.3 On the VacPrep unit, turn the 'gas/off/vac' switch to the 'gas' position for 30 seconds
- 4.4 Turn the gas/off/vac switch to the '<u>off</u>' position.
- 4.5 Disconnect the sample tube from the instrument by releasing the tube nut. Attach 'ear' immediately. Using a 4 decimal place balance, weigh the sample, tube, 'ear' and foam base assembly. Record the weight on the BET sample sheet. Note: The weight of sample produced on the BET sample sheet is required when completing the 'Sample Information' tab later.
- 4.6 Follow the same procedure as that for the carbon black standard [steps 3.1 -3.18] substituting the appropriate MPL number when completing the 'Sample Information' tab [step 3.6].

5 TUBE CLEANING AFTER ANALYSIS

- 5.1 Rinse each of the sample tubes with weak detergent.
- 5.2 Place the tubes in an ultrasonic bath filled with RO water and sonicate for c.5 minutes.
- 5.3 Rinse the tubes with acetone and leave in an oven set at 50°C until dry.

Day before analysis

Weigh sample approximately into a balance boat, i.e. 0.5g (for samples with high surface areas) and 1.0g (for samples with low surface areas). Set sample aside

Select a labelled sample tube. Fit tube into foam base and insert rubber ear into tube. Weigh all 3 components together and note weight in table below

Remove components from scales and fill tube with sample by first removing rubber ear and attaching the 'tube filler' to tube, then carefully pouring sample from balance boat into it. Repeat the above steps for all samples and finally weigh out between 0.5g and 0.6g Carbon Black* reference material into tube and leave in 50°C oven overnight

Finally, place filled sample tubes in Vac-Prep unit and evacuate overnight at 60°C - see section 1.4 in operating instructions.

Day of analysis

Prior to analysis, remove a sample tube containing a sample to be analysed from the Vac-Prep (after allowing to cool) and insert rubber ear, thus ensuring that the sample remains dry. Determine the sample weight by fitting the tube + sample + ear into the base, weighing all components and entering and the weight into the able. The sample weight calculated in the final column should be approximately 0.5g or 1.0g.

					" Carbon Black reference sample should always be run prior to any unknown samples and the result	verified to ensure that the instrument is operating	correctiv
Sample Weight (g)	1.0946	1.0769	1.0861	1.1486	0.5013	0	0
Weight (g) TUBE+SAMPLE+E AR+BASE _{(after} removal from vac prep <u>on dav</u> of analysis)	21.2635	21.2145	21.2004	21.2682	20.6818		
Weight (g) TUBE+EAR+BASE	20.1689	20.1376	20.1143	20.1196	20.1805		
Alternative Sample No./Description (f applicable)	London Clay	Boom Clay	Opalinus Clay	Gault Clay	Bentonite <125um		
MPL No.	1 N418	2 N419	3 N420	4 N421	5 N411	9	2
TUBE No.	•			7)	

Appendix 2 BET sample sheet with example data

Appendix 3 Example multi-point BET report (3 pages)





22

mi micromeritics[•]

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Unit 1

Gemini V2.00

Page 2

MPL sample: MPLN405 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEMINI\DATA\006.SMP

Started: 29/07/2008 11:58:43PM Completed: 29/07/2008 13:04:07PM Report Time: 10/11/2008 14:14:51PM Free Space Diff.: -1.0884 cm³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385 Analysis Adsorptive: N2 Equilibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 102.4380 kPa Sample Mass: 0.9535 g Sample Density: 1.000 g/cm³

Serial #: 144

BET Surface Area Report

BET Surface Area: 16.2691 ± 0.2823 m²/g Slope: 5.990563 ± 0.102135 g/mmol Y-Intercept: 0.006884 ± 0.020036 g/mmol C: 871.226494 Qm: 0.16674 mmol/g Correlation Coefficient: 0.9995643 Molecular Cross-Sectional Area: 0.1620 nm² Relative Quantity 1/[Q(p°/p - 1)]

Pressure (p/p°)	Adsorbed (mmol/g)	
0.049955999	0.16352	0.32157
0.112538520	0.18720	0.67740
0.175139059	0.20458	1.03788
0.237705656	0.22015	1.41645
0.300132847	0.23489	1.82569

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Ser	rial #: 144	Page 3
MPL sample: MPLN4 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEN	405 MINI\DATA\006.SMP			
Started: 29/07/2008 Completed: 29/07/2008 Report Time: 10/11/2008 Free Space Diff.: -1.0884 cmi Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385	: 11:58:43PM Analy : 13:04:07PM Equ : 14:14:51PM	vsis Adsorptive: uilibration Time: Sat. Pressure: Sample Mass: Sample Density:	N2 5 s 102.4380 kPa 0.9535 g 1.000 g/cm³	



Appendix 4 Example full isotherm and pore volume distribution report (9 pages)



Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Unit 1

Gemini V2.00

Serial #: 144

Page 1

MPL sample: MPLN405 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP

Started: 04/11/2008 13:46:56PM Completed: 04/11/2008 17:05:45PM Report Time: 10/11/2008 14:38:11PM Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 cm³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385

Analysis Adsorptive: N2 Equilibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 103.3533 kPa Sample Mass: 1.0016 g Sample Density: 1.000 g/cm³

Comments: 610155 <2MM

Summary Report

Surface Area

BET Surface Area: 16.5310 m²/g

t-Plot Micropore Area: 2.2816 m²/g

t-Plot External Surface Area: 14.2494 m²/g

Pore Volume

Single point adsorption total pore volume of pores less than 406.703 Å width at $p/p^\circ = 0.950326640$: 0.027136 cm³/g

t-Plot micropore volume: 0.001047 cm³/g

Pore Size

BJH Adsorption average pore width (4V/A): 74.337 Å

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Ser	rial #: 144	Page 2
MPL sam; Operai Submit F Completed: Report Time: Free Space Diff.: Free Space Type: Gemini Model:	ble: MPLN405 tor: ter: ile: C:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP 04/11/2008 13:46:56PM 04/11/2008 17:05:45PM 10/11/2008 14:38:11PM -1.4809 cm ³ Measured 2385	Analysis Adsorptive: Equilibration Time: Sat. Pressure: Sample Mass: Sample Density:	N2 5 s 103.3533 kPa 1.0016 g 1.000 g/cm³	

Comments: 610155 <2MM



Isotherm Linear Plot

mi micromeritics[•]

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Unit 1

Gemini V2.00

Page 3

MPL sample: MPLN405 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP

Started: 04/11/2008 13:46:56PM Completed: 04/11/2008 17:05:45PM Report Time: 10/11/2008 14:38:11PM Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 cm³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385 Analysis Adsorptive: N2 Equilibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 103.3533 kPa Sample Mass: 1.0016 g Sample Density: 1.000 g/cm³

Serial #: 144

Comments: 610155 <2MM

BET Surface Area Report

BET Surface Area: 16.5310 ± 0.1458 m²/g Slope: 5.879506 ± 0.051200 g/mmol Y-Intercept: 0.022932 ± 0.009420 g/mmol C: 257.392157 Qm: 0.16942 mmol/g Correlation Coefficient: 0.9998105 Molecular Cross-Sectional Area: 0.1620 nm² Relative Quantity 1/[Q(p°/p - 1)]

Pressure (p/p°)	Adsorbed (mmol/g)	
0.074866061	0.17153	0.47178
0.107341166	0.18329	0.65605
0.139739436	0.19343	0.83976
0.172134854	0.20257	1.02643
0.204584839	0.21118	1.21797
0.237090173	0.21958	1.41528
0.269411707	0.22776	1.61905

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Ser	ial #: 144	Page 4
MPL sample: M Operator: Submitter: File: C Started: 04/11 Completed: 04/11 Report Time: 10/11	//PLN405 2:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP //2008 13:46:56PM Ana //2008 17:05:45PM Ei //2008 14:38:11PM	alysis Adsorptive: quilibration Time: Sat. Pressure:	N2 5 s 103.3533 kPa	
Free Space Diff.: -1.48 Free Space Type: Meas Gemini Model: 2385	sured	Sample Density:	1.000 g/cm ^a	

Comments: 610155 <2MM



BET Surface Area Plot

mi micromeritics^{*}

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Unit 1

Gemini V2.00

Page 5

MPL sample: MPLN405 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP

Started: 04/11/2008 13:46:56PM Completed: 04/11/2008 17:05:45PM Report Time: 10/11/2008 14:38:11PM Free Space Diff: -1.4809 cm³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385 Analysis Adsorptive: N2 Equilibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 103.3533 kPa Sample Mass: 1.0016 g Sample Density: 1.000 g/cm³

Serial #: 144

Comments: 610155 <2MM

t-Plot Report

t-Plot Report Micropore Volume: 0.001047 cm³/g Micropore Area: 2.2816 m²/g External Surface Area: 14.2494 m²/g Slope: 0.041100 ± 0.000351 mmol/g.Å Y-Intercept: 0.030199 ± 0.001511 mmol/g.Å Y-Intercept: 0.030199 ± 0.001511 mmol/g Correlation Coefficient: 0.999854 Surface Area Correction Factor: 1.000 Density Conversion Factor: 0.0015468 Total Surface Area (BET): 16.5310 m²/g Thickness Range: 3.5000 Å to 5.0000 Å Thickness Equation: Harkins and Jura t = [12.00./(0.034.log/g/%)] 10.0 5 $t = [13.99 / (0.034 - log(p/p^{\circ}))]^{0.5}$

Relative Pressure (p/p°)	Statistical Thickness (Å)	Quantity Adsorbed (mmol/g)
0.009993336	2.6224	0.12201
0.042414404	3.1539	0.15546
0.074866061	3.4732	0.17153
0.107341166	3.7343	0.18329
0.139739436	3.9677	0.19343
0.172134854	4.1867	0.20257
0.204584839	4.3985	0.21118
0.237090173	4.6072	0.21958
0.269411707	4.8144	0.22776
0.301914051	5.0247	0.23578
0.334253087	5.2379	0.24364
0.366698347	5.4576	0.25168
0.399274006	5.6859	0.25992
0.431590213	5.9219	0.26852
0.464131579	6.1711	0.27734
0.496344495	6.4315	0.28672
0.528984657	6.7118	0.29675
0.561347636	7.0091	0.30733
0.593696962	7.3292	0.31895
0.626214239	7.6786	0.33167
0.658694711	8.0607	0.34554
0.690954938	8.4799	0.36134
0.723472910	8.9519	0.37929
0.756152793	9.4885	0.40031
0.788275789	10.0934	0.42519
0.820517524	10.8013	0.45617
0.853423883	11.6637	0.49651
0.885590866	12.6979	0.55101
0.917905864	14.0173	0.63451
0.950326640	15.7878	0.78270

mi micromeritics^{*}

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Serial #	: 144	Page 6
MPL sample: MPLN4 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEN Started: 04/11/2008 Completed: 04/11/2008 Report Time: 10/11/2008	05 /INI\DATA\051.SMP 13:46:56PM Anal 17:05:45PM Equ 14:38:11PM	rsis Adsorptive: N2 illibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 103	.3533 kPa	
Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 cm ² Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385	, S	Sample Mass: 1.00 ample Density: 1.00	016 g 00 g/cm³	

Comments: 610155 <2MM



Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Unit 1

Gemini V2.00

Page 7

MPL sample: MPLN405 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP

Started: 04/11/2008 13:46:56PM Completed: 04/11/2008 17:05:45PM Report Time: 10/11/2008 14:38:11PM Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 cm³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385

Analysis Adsorptive: N2 Equilibration Time: 5 s Sat. Pressure: 103.3533 kPa Sample Mass: 1.0016 g Sample Density: 1.000 g/cm³

Serial #: 144

Comments: 610155 <2MM

BJH Adsorption Pore Distribution Report

Faas Correction Halsey t = 3.54 [-5 / ln(p/p°)] ^ 0.333

Width Range: 17.000 Å to 3000.000 Å Adsorbate Property Factor: 9.53000 Å Density Conversion Factor: 0.0015468 Fraction of Pores Open at Both Ends: 0.00

Pore Width Range (Å)	Average Width (Å)	Incremental Pore Volume (cm³/g)	Cumulative Pore Volume (cm³/g)	Incremental Pore Area (m²/g)	Cumulative Pore Area (m²/g)
404.1 - 247.3	288.4	0.006149	0.006149	0.853	0.853
247.3 - 178.6	201.5	0.003490	0.009639	0.693	1,545
178.6 - 140.0	154.3	0.002291	0.011930	0.594	2.139
140.0 - 114.5	124.5	0.001704	0.013634	0.548	2.687
114.5 - 97.0	104.2	0.001315	0.014950	0.505	3.192
97.0 - 84.0	89.5	0.001058	0.016007	0.473	3.665
84.0 - 73.9	78.2	0.000893	0.016901	0.457	4.122
73.9 - 65.8	69.3	0.000763	0.017664	0.440	4.562
65.8 - 59.2	62.1	0.000675	0.018338	0.435	4.997
59.2 - 53.7	56.1	0.000587	0.018925	0.418	5.415
53.7 - 48.9	51.1	0.000541	0.019466	0.424	5.839
48.9 - 44.9	46.7	0.000493	0.019959	0.422	6.261
44.9 - 41.4	43.0	0.000445	0.020404	0.414	6.675
41.4 - 38.2	39.6	0.000422	0.020826	0.426	7.101
38.2 - 35.4	36.7	0.000394	0.021220	0.430	7.531
35.4 - 32.9	34.0	0.000364	0.021584	0.428	7.959
32.9 - 30.6	31.6	0.000359	0.021943	0.454	8.413
30.6 - 28.4	29.4	0.000338	0.022281	0.459	8.872
28.4 - 26.5	27.4	0.000329	0.022609	0.480	9.353
26.5 - 24.7	25.5	0.000318	0.022928	0.499	9.852
24.7 - 22.9	23.7	0.000329	0.023256	0.554	10.406
22.9 - 21.3	22.1	0.000340	0.023596	0.616	11.022
21.3 - 19.7	20.5	0.000347	0.023943	0.678	11.700
19.7 - 18.2	18.9	0.000345	0.024288	0.730	12.430
182-167	174	0 000362	0 024650	0.834	13 264

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Seria	al #: 144	Page 8
MPL sample: MP Operator: Submitter: File: C:\	PLN405 GEMINI\DATA\051.SMP			
Started: 04/11/2 Completed: 04/11/2 Report Time: 10/11/2 Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 Free Space Type: Measur Gemini Model: 2385	008 13:46:56PM Ana 008 17:05:45PM E 008 14:38:11PM 9 cm ³ red	alysis Adsorptive: N quilibration Time: 5 Sat. Pressure: 1 Sample Mass: 1 Sample Density: 1	V2 5 s 1.03.3533 kPa 1.0016 g 1.000 g/cm³	

Comments: 610155 <2MM



BJH Adsorption dV/dlog(w) Pore Volume

32

Micromeritics Instrument Corp.

Gemini V2.00	Unit 1	Ser	rial #: 144	Page 9
MPL sample: MPLN40 Operator: Submitter: File: C:\GEM	05 INI\DATA\051.SMP			
Started: 04/11/2008 Completed: 04/11/2008 Report Time: 10/11/2008 Free Space Diff.: -1.4809 cm ³ Free Space Type: Measured Gemini Model: 2385	13:46:56PM An: 17:05:45PM E 14:38:11PM	alysis Adsorptive: quilibration Time: Sat. Pressure: Sample Mass: Sample Density:	N2 5 s 103.3533 kPa 1.0016 g 1.000 g/cm³	

Comments: 610155 <2MM



BJH Adsorption dA/dlog(w) Pore Area

Halsey : Faas Correction